

1. (a) Consider the Rouquier complex

$$F_{s_i}: \dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow R(1) \rightarrow \underline{B_{s_i}} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

Show that the tensor product $F_{s_i} \otimes_R F_{s_i}$ is homotopy equivalent to a complex of the form

$$\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow R(2) \rightarrow B_{s_i}(1) \rightarrow \underline{B_{s_i}(-1)} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

Remember to keep track of (at least some of) the differentials to justify each use of Gaussian elimination.

(b) Part (a) can be viewed as a categorification of the equation

$$H_{s_i}^2 = v^{-1}C_{s_i} - vC_{s_i} + v^2 \quad (1)$$

in the Hecke algebra. Show that (1) is the quadratic relation.

(a) We'll use the following facts:

$$\cdot B_{s_i} := R \otimes_{R^{s_i}} R$$

$$\cdot B_{s_i} \otimes_R B_{s_i} \xrightarrow{\hat{P}_i := \begin{pmatrix} P^+ \\ P^- \end{pmatrix}} B_{s_i}(1) \oplus B_{s_i}(-1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot R(1) \otimes_R B_{s_i} &= R(1) \otimes_R R \otimes_{R^{s_i}} R = R(1) \otimes_{R^{s_i}} R(-1) \\ &= R \otimes_{R^{s_i}} R = \underline{B_{s_i}(1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot B_{s_i} \otimes_R R(1) = R \otimes_{R^{s_i}} R = \underline{B_{s_i}(1)}.$$

$$\text{Set } F_{s_i} = (\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow R(1) \xrightarrow{\partial} \underline{B_{s_i}} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots)$$

$$\text{Then } F_{s_i} \otimes_R F_{s_i} =$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & R(1) \otimes_R R(1) & \begin{array}{l} \xrightarrow{-1 \otimes \partial} \\ \xrightarrow{\partial \otimes 1} \end{array} & \begin{array}{l} R(1) \otimes_R B_{s_i} \\ \oplus \\ B_{s_i} \otimes_R R(1) \end{array} & \begin{array}{l} \xrightarrow{\partial \otimes 1} \\ \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \partial} \end{array} & \underline{B_{s_i} \otimes_R B_{s_i}} & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

$$= (\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow R(2) \begin{array}{l} \xrightarrow{-\partial} B_{S_i}(1) \\ \xrightarrow{\partial} B_{S_i}(1) \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{l} \xrightarrow{2\partial 1} B_{S_i} \otimes_R B_{S_i} \\ \xrightarrow{1\partial\partial} B_{S_i} \otimes_R B_{S_i} \end{array} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots)$$

$\hat{p} := \begin{pmatrix} p^+ \\ p^- \end{pmatrix}$

$$= (\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow R(2) \begin{array}{l} \xrightarrow{-\partial} B_{S_i}(1) \\ \xrightarrow{\partial} B_{S_i}(1) \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{l} \xrightarrow{\hat{p} \circ (2\partial 1)} B_{S_i}(1) \otimes B_{S_i}(-1) \\ \xrightarrow{\hat{p} \circ (1\partial\partial)} B_{S_i}(1) \otimes B_{S_i}(-1) \end{array} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots)$$

Gaussian elim. for $F = \begin{bmatrix} ? & ? \\ ? & \text{id} \end{bmatrix}$
 $B_{S_i}(1) \otimes B_{S_i}(1) \xrightarrow{F} B_{S_i}(-1) \otimes B_{S_i}(1)$

Want to say this is the identity, but can't trace out why!

$$= (\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow R(2) \xrightarrow{-\partial} B_{S_i}(1) \xrightarrow{\hat{p} \circ (2\partial 1)} B_{S_i}(-1) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots) \quad \blacksquare$$

b) In $H_n^{\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]}(v^{-2}, \mathbb{Z})$, the quadratic relation is

$$H_{S_i}^2 = (v^{-1} - v)H_{S_i} + 1.$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{S_i}^2 &= v^{-1}C_{S_i} - vC_{S_i} + v^2 \cdot 1 \\ &:= v^{-1}(H_{S_i} + v1) - v(H_{S_i} + v1) + v^2 1 \\ &= v^{-1}H_{S_i} + 1 - vH_{S_i} - v^2 1 + v^2 1 \\ &= (v^{-1} - v)H_{S_i} + 1. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$