

# Problem Sets: Lie Algebras

## Problem Set 1

*D. Zack Garza*  
*University of Georgia*  
[dzackgarza@gmail.com](mailto:dzackgarza@gmail.com)

*Last updated: 2022-08-27*

# Table of Contents

## Contents

Table of Contents	2
1 Problem Set 1	3
1.1 Section 1 . . . . .	3
1.2 Section 2 . . . . .	6

# 1 | Problem Set 1

## 1.1 Section 1

*Problem 1.1.1 (Humphreys 1.1)*

Let  $L$  be the real vector space  $\mathbf{R}^3$ . Define  $[xy] = x \times y$  (cross product of vectors) for  $x, y \in L$ , and verify that  $L$  is a Lie algebra. Write down the structure constants relative to the usual basis of  $\mathbf{R}^3$ .

**Solution:**

It suffices to check the 3 axioms given in class that define a Lie algebra:

- **L1 (Bilinearity):** This can be quickly seen from the formula

$$a \times b = \|a\| \cdot \|b\| \sin(\theta_{ab}) \hat{n}_{ab}$$

where  $\hat{n}_{ab}$  is the vector orthogonal to both  $a$  and  $b$  given by the right-hand rule. The result follows readily from a direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned} (ra) \times (tb) &= \|ra\| \cdot \|tb\| \sin(\theta_{ra,tb}) \hat{n}_{ra,tb} \\ &= (rt) \|a\| \cdot \|b\| \sin(\theta_{a,b}) \hat{n}_{a,b} \\ &= (rt) (a \times b), \end{aligned}$$

where we've used the fact that the angle between  $a$  and  $b$  is the same as the angle between any of their scalar multiples, as is their normal.

- **L2:** that  $a \times a = 0$  readily follows from the same formula, since  $\sin(\theta_{a,a}) = \sin(0) = 0$ .
- **L3 (The Jacobi identity):** This is most easily seen from the "BAC - CAB" formula,

$$a \times (b \times c) = b\langle a, c \rangle - c\langle a, b \rangle.$$

We proceed by expanding the Jacobi expression:

$$\begin{aligned} a \times (b \times c) + c \times (a \times b) + b \times (c \times a) &= b\langle a, c \rangle - c\langle a, b \rangle \\ &\quad + a\langle c, b \rangle - b\langle c, a \rangle \\ &\quad + c\langle a, b \rangle - a\langle b, c \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

For the structure constants, let  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  be the standard Euclidean basis for  $\mathbf{R}^3$ ; we can then write  $e_i \times e_j = \sum_{k=1}^3 c_{ij}^k e_k$  and we would like to determine the  $c_{ij}^k$ . One can compute the following multiplication table:

$e_i \times e_j$	$e_1$	$e_2$	$e_3$
$e_1$	0	$e_3$	$-e_2$
$e_2$	$-e_3$	0	$e_1$
$e_3$	$e_2$	$-e_1$	0

Thus the structure constants are given by the antisymmetric Levi-Cevita symbol,

$$c_{ij}^k = \varepsilon^{ijk} := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if any index } i, j, k \text{ is repeated} \\ \text{sgn } \sigma_{ijk} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\sigma_{ijk} \in S_3$  is the permutation associated to  $(i, j, k)$  in cycle notation and  $\text{sgn } \sigma$  is the sign homomorphism.

**Remark 1.1.1:** An example to demonstrate how the Levi-Cevita symbol works:

$$e_1 \times e_2 = c_{12}^1 e_1 + c_{12}^2 e_2 + c_{12}^3 e_3 = 0e_1 + 0e_2 + 1e_3$$

since the first two terms have a repeated index and

$$c_{12}^3 = \varepsilon_{1,2,3} = \text{sgn}(123) = \text{sgn}(12)(23) = (-1)^2 = 1$$

using that  $\text{sgn } \sigma = (-1)^m$  where  $m$  is the number of transpositions in  $\sigma$ .

*Problem 1.1.2 (Humphreys 1.6)*

Let  $x \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$  have  $n$  distinct eigenvalues  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Prove that the eigenvalues of  $\text{ad}_x$  are precisely the  $n^2$  scalars  $a_i - a_j$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , which of course need not be distinct.

**Solution:**

For a fixed  $n$ , let  $e_{ij} \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$  be the matrix with a 1 in the  $(i, j)$  position and zeros elsewhere. We will use the following fact:

$$e_{ij}e_{kl} = \delta_{jk}e_{il},$$

where  $\delta_{jk} = 1 \iff j = k$ , which implies that

$$[e_{ij}e_{kl}] = e_{ij}e_{kl} - e_{kl}e_{ij} = \delta_{jk}e_{il} - \delta_{li}e_{kj}.$$

Suppose without loss of generality<sup>a</sup> that  $x$  is diagonal and of the form  $x = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ . Then the eigenvectors of  $x$  are precisely the  $e_{ij}$ , since a direct check via matrix multiplication shows  $xe_{ij} = a_i e_{ij}$ .

We claim that every  $e_{ij}$  is again an eigenvector of  $\text{ad}_x$  with eigenvalue  $a_i - a_j$ . Noting that the  $e_{ij}$  are also left eigenvectors satisfying  $e_{ij}x = a_j e_{ij}$ , one readily computes

$$\text{ad}_x e_{ij} := [x, e_{ij}] = xe_{ij} - e_{ij}x = a_i e_{ij} - a_j e_{ij} = (a_i - a_j)e_{ij},$$

yielding at least  $n^2$  eigenvalues. Since  $\text{ad}_x$  expanded in the basis  $\{e_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, this exhausts all possible eigenvalues.

<sup>a</sup>If  $x$  is not diagonal, one can use that  $x$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$  since  $x$  has distinct eigenvalues in  $\mathbb{F}$ . So one can reduce to the diagonal case by a change-of-basis of  $\mathbb{F}^n$  that diagonalizes  $x$ .

*Problem 1.1.3* (Humphreys 1.9, one Lie type only)

When  $\text{ch } \mathbb{F} = 0$ , show that each classical algebra  $L = A_\ell, B_\ell, C_\ell$ , or  $D_\ell$  is equal to  $[LL]$ . (This shows again that each algebra consists of trace 0 matrices.)

**Solution:**

We will check for this type  $A_n$ , corresponding to  $L := \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ . Since  $[LL] \subseteq L$ , it suffices to show  $L \subseteq [LL]$ , and we can further reduce to writing every basis element of  $L$  as a commutator in  $[LL]$ . Note that  $L$  has a standard basis given by the matrices

- $\{x_i := e_{ij} \mid i > j\}$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{n}^-$ ,
- $\{h_i := e_{ii} - e_{i+1,i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{h}$ , and
- $\{y_i := e_{ij} \mid i < j\}$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{n}^+$ .

Considering the equation  $[e_{ij}e_{kl}] = \delta_{jk}e_{il} - \delta_{li}e_{kj}$ , one can choose  $j = k$  to preserve the first term and  $l \neq i$  to kill the second term. So letting  $t, i, j$  be arbitrary with  $i \neq j$ , we have

$$[e_{it}e_{tj}] = \delta_{tt}e_{ij} - \delta_{ij}e_{tt} = e_{ij},$$

yielding all of the  $x_i$  and  $y_i$ . But in fact we are done, using the fact that  $h_i = [x_i y_i]$ .

*Problem 1.1.4* (Humphreys 1.11)

Verify that the commutator of two derivations of an  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra is again a derivation, whereas the ordinary product need not be.

**Solution:**

We want to show that  $[\text{Der}(L) \text{ Der}(L)] \subseteq \text{Der}(L)$ , so let  $f, g \in \text{Der}(L)$ . The result follows from a direct computation; letting  $D := [fg]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(ab) &= [fg](ab) = (fg - gf)(ab) \\ &= fg(ab) - gf(ab) \\ &= f(g(a)b + ag(b)) - g(f(a)b + af(b)) \\ &= f(g(a)b) + f(ag(b)) - g(f(a)b) - g(af(b)) \\ &= (fg)(a)b + g(a)f(b) \\ &\quad + f(a)g(b) + a(fg)(b) \\ &\quad - (gf)(a)b - f(a)g(b) \\ &\quad - g(a)f(b) - a(gf)(b) \\ &= [fg](a)b - a[fg](b) \\ &= D(a)b - aD(b). \end{aligned}$$

To see that ordinary products of derivations need not be derivations, consider the operators  $D_x := \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, D_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$  acting on a finite-dimensional vector space of multivariate polynomials of some bounded degree, as a sub  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra of  $\mathbb{R}[x, y]$ . Take  $f(x, y) = x + y$  and  $g(x, y) = xy$ , so that  $fg = gf = x^2y + xy^2$ . Then  $[D_x D_y] = 0$  since mixed partial derivatives are equal, but

$$D_x D_y(fg) = D_x(x^2 + 2xy) = 2x + 2y \neq 0.$$

**Problem 1.1.5** (Humphreys 1.12)

Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $x \in L$ . Prove that the subspace of  $L$  spanned by the eigenvectors of  $\text{ad}_x$  is a subalgebra.

**Solution:**

Let  $E_x \subseteq L$  be the subspace spanned by eigenvectors of  $\text{ad}_x$ ; it suffices to show  $[E_x E_x] \subseteq E_x$ . Letting  $y_i \in E_x$ , we have  $\text{ad}_x(y_i) = \lambda_i y_i$  for some scalars  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}$ , and we want to show  $\text{ad}_x([y_1 y_2]) = \lambda_{12} [y_1 y_2]$  for some scalar  $\lambda_{12}$ . Note that the Jacobi identity is equivalent to  $\text{ad}$  acting as a derivation with respect to the bracket, i.e.

$$\text{ad}_x([yz]) = [\text{ad}_x(y)z] + [y\text{ad}_x(z)] \implies [x[yz]] = [[xy]z] + [y[xz]].$$

The result then follows from a direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}_x([y_1 y_2]) &= [[xy_1]y_2] + [y_1[xy_2]] \\ &= [\lambda_1 y_1 y_2] + [y_1 \lambda_2 y_2] \\ &= (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)[y_1 y_2]. \end{aligned}$$

## 1.2 Section 2

**Problem 1.2.1** (Humphreys 2.1)

Prove that the set of all inner derivations  $\text{ad}_x, x \in L$ , is an ideal of  $\text{Der } L$ .

**Solution:**

It suffices to show  $[\text{Der}(L) \text{Inn}(L)] \subseteq \text{Inn}(L)$ , so let  $f \in \text{Der}(L)$  and  $\text{ad}_x \in \text{Inn}(L)$ . The result follows from the following check:

$$\begin{aligned} [f\text{ad}_x](l) &= (f \circ \text{ad}_x)(l) - (\text{ad}_x \circ f)(l) \\ &= f([xl]) - [xf(l)] \\ &= [f(x)l] + [xf(l)] - [xf(l)] \\ &= [f(x)l] \\ &= \text{ad}_{f(x)}(l), \quad \text{and } \text{ad}_{f(x)} \in \text{Inn}(L). \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 1.2.2** (Humphreys 2.2)

Show that  $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})$  is precisely the derived algebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$  (cf. Exercise 1.9).

**Solution:**

We want to show  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})^{(1)} := [\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F}), \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})] = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ .

$\subseteq$ : This immediate from the fact that for any matrices  $A$  and  $B$ ,

$$\mathrm{tr}([AB]) = \mathrm{tr}(AB - BA) = \mathrm{tr}(AB) - \mathrm{tr}(BA) = \mathrm{tr}(AB) - \mathrm{tr}(AB) = 0.$$

$\supseteq$ : From a previous exercise, we know that  $[\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F}), \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})] = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ , and since  $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F}) \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$  we have

$$\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F}) = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})^{(1)} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})^{(1)}.$$

**Problem 1.2.3** (Humphreys 2.5)

Suppose  $\dim L = 3$  and  $L = [LL]$ . Prove that  $L$  must be simple. Observe first that any homomorphic image of  $L$  also equals its derived algebra. Recover the simplicity of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$  when  $\mathrm{ch} \mathbb{F} \neq 2$ .

**Solution:**

Let  $I \trianglelefteq L$  be a proper ideal, then  $\dim L/I < \dim L$  forces  $\dim L/I = 1, 2$ . Since  $L \twoheadrightarrow L/I$ , the latter is the homomorphic image of a Lie algebra and thus  $(L/I)^{(1)} = L/I$  by the hint. Note that in particular,  $L/I$  is not abelian. We proceed by cases:

- $\dim L/I = 1$ .
  - In this case,  $L/I = \mathbb{F}x$  is generated by a single element  $x$ . Since  $[xx] = 0$  in any Lie algebra, we have  $(\mathbb{F}x)^{(1)} = 0$ , contradicting that  $L/I$  is not abelian.  $\nexists$
- $\dim L/I = 2$ : Write  $L/I = \mathbb{F}x + \mathbb{F}y$  for distinct generators  $x, y$ , and consider the multiplication table for the bracket.
  - If  $[xy] = 0$ , then  $L/I$  is abelian, a contradiction.  $\nexists$
  - Otherwise, without loss of generality  $[xy] = x$  as described at the end of section 1.4. In this case,  $(L/I)^{(1)} \subseteq \mathbb{F}x \subsetneq L/I$ , again a contradiction.  $\nexists$

So no such proper ideals  $I$  can exist, forcing  $L$  to be simple.

Applying this to  $L := \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$ , we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F}) = 2^2 - 1 = 3$ , and from a previous exercise we know  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})^{(1)} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$ , so the above argument applies and shows simplicity.

**Problem 1.2.4** (Humphreys 2.10)

Let  $\sigma$  be the automorphism of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$  defined in (2.3). Verify that

- $\sigma(x) = -y$ ,
- $\sigma(y) = -x$ ,
- $\sigma(h) = -h$ .

Note that this automorphism is defined as

$$\sigma = \exp(\text{ad}_x) \circ \exp(\text{ad}_{-y}) \circ \exp(\text{ad}_x).$$

**Solution:**

We recall that  $\exp \text{ad}_x(y) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \text{ad}_x^n(y)$ , where the exponent denotes an  $n$ -fold composition of operators. To compute these power series, first note that  $\text{ad}_t(t) = 0$  for  $t = x, y, h$  by axiom **L2**, so

$$(\exp \text{ad}_t)(t) = 1(t) + \text{ad}_t(t) + \frac{1}{2} \text{ad}_t^2(t) + \cdots = 1(t) = t$$

where 1 denotes the identity operator. It is worth noting that if  $\text{ad}_t^n(t') = 0$  for some  $n$  and some fixed  $t, t'$ , then it is also zero for all higher  $n$  since each successive term involves bracketing with the previous term:

$$\text{ad}_t^{n+1}(t') = [t \text{ad}_t^n(t')] = [t 0] = 0.$$

We first compute some individual nontrivial terms that will appear in  $\sigma$ . The first order terms are given by standard formulas, which we collect into a multiplication table for the bracket:

	$x$	$h$	$y$
$x$	0	$-2x$	$h$
$h$	$2x$	0	$-2y$
$y$	$-h$	$2y$	0



We can thus read off the following:

- $\text{ad}_x(y) = h$
- $\text{ad}_x(h) = -2x$
- $\text{ad}_{-y}(x) = [-yx] = [xy] = h$
- $\text{ad}_{-y}(h) = [-yh] = [hy] = -2y$

For reference, we compute and collect higher order terms:

- $\text{ad}_x^n(y)$ :
  - $\text{ad}_x^1(y) = h$  from above,
  - $\text{ad}_x^2(y) = \text{ad}_x([xy]) = \text{ad}_x(h) = [xh] = -[hx] = -2x$ ,
  - $\text{ad}_x^3(y) = \text{ad}_x(-2x) = 0$ , so  $\text{ad}_x^{\geq 3}(y) = 0$ .
- $\text{ad}_x^n(h)$ :
  - $\text{ad}_x^1(h) = -2x$  from above,
  - $\text{ad}_x^2(h) = \text{ad}_x(-2x) = 0$ , so  $\text{ad}_x^{\geq 2}(h) = 0$ .
- $\text{ad}_{-y}^n(x)$ :
  - $\text{ad}_{-y}^1(x) = h$  from above,
  - $\text{ad}_{-y}^2(x) = \text{ad}_{-y}(h) = [-yh] = [hy] = -2y$ ,
  - $\text{ad}_{-y}^3(x) = \text{ad}_{-y}(-2y) = 0$ , so  $\text{ad}_{-y}^{\geq 3}(x) = 0$ .
- $\text{ad}_{-y}^n(h)$ :
  - $\text{ad}_{-y}^1(h) = -2y$  from above, and so  $\text{ad}_{-y}^{\geq 2}(h) = 0$ .

Finally, we can compute the individual terms of  $\sigma$ :

$$(\exp \operatorname{ad}_x)(x) = x$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\exp \operatorname{ad}_x)(h) &= 1(h) + \operatorname{ad}_x(h) \\ &= h + (-2x) \\ &= h - 2x \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\exp \operatorname{ad}_x)(y) &= 1(y) + \operatorname{ad}_x(y) + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ad}_x^2(y) \\ &= y + h + \frac{1}{2}(-2x) \\ &= y + h - x \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\exp \operatorname{ad}_{-y})(x) &= 1(x) + \operatorname{ad}_{-y}(x)x + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ad}_{-y}^2(x) \\ &= x + h + \frac{1}{2}(-2y) \\ &= x + h - y \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\exp \operatorname{ad}_{-y})(h) &= 1(h) + \operatorname{ad}_{-y}(h) \\ &= h - 2y \end{aligned}$$

$$(\exp \operatorname{ad}_{-y})(y) = y,$$

and assembling everything together yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(x) &= (\exp \operatorname{ad}_x \circ \exp \operatorname{ad}_{-y} \circ \exp \operatorname{ad}_x)(x) \\
&= (\exp \operatorname{ad}_x \circ \exp \operatorname{ad}_{-y})(x) \\
&= (\exp \operatorname{ad}_x)(x + h - y) \\
&= (x) + (h - 2x) - (y + h - x) \\
&= -y
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(y) &= (\exp \operatorname{ad}_x \circ \exp \operatorname{ad}_{-y} \circ \exp \operatorname{ad}_x)(y) \\
&= (\exp \operatorname{ad}_x \circ \exp \operatorname{ad}_{-y})(y + h - x) \\
&= \exp \operatorname{ad}_x((y) + (h - 2y) - (x + h - y)) \\
&= \exp \operatorname{ad}_x(-x) \\
&= -x
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(h) &= (\exp \operatorname{ad}_x \circ \exp \operatorname{ad}_{-y} \circ \exp \operatorname{ad}_x)(h) \\
&= (\exp \operatorname{ad}_x \circ \exp \operatorname{ad}_{-y})(h - 2x) \\
&= (\exp \operatorname{ad}_x)((h - 2y) - 2(x + h - y)) \\
&= (\exp \operatorname{ad}_x)(-2x - h) \\
&= -2(x) - (h - 2x) \\
&= -h.
\end{aligned}$$