

Notes: These are notes live-tex'd from a graduate course in K3 surfaces taught by Phil Engel at the University of Georgia in Spring 2023. As such, any errors or inaccuracies are almost certainly my own.

K3 Surfaces

Lectures by Phil Engel. University of Georgia, Spring 2023

1

D. Zack Garza University of Georgia dzackgarza@gmail.com Last updated: 2023-11-16

Table of Contents

Contents

Table of Contents	2
1 Tuesday, January 10	3
2 Thursday, January 12	6
3 Tuesday, January 17	7
4 Thursday, January 19 (Divisors)	12
5 Tuesday, January 24	14
6 Thursday, February 02	17
7 Tuesday, February 07	20
8 Thursday, February 09	22
9 Tuesday, February 14	24
10 Tuesday, February 21	27
11 Tuesday, March 14	32
12 Thursday, March 16	34
ToDos	37
Definitions	38
Theorems	39
Exercises	40
Figures	41

1 | Tuesday, January 10

Remark 1.0.1: References:

- Beauville, "Complex Algebraic Surfaces"
- Huybrechts, "Lectures on K3 Surfaces"
- Gathmann, "Algebraic Geometry" (2002)

Remark 1.0.2: K3s are amazing and used in many fields! Named after Kähler, Kodaira, and Kummer. An accomplishment of the early 1900s Italian school of algebraic geometry was classification of complex surfaces (4 real dimensions, admitting holomorphic charts to \mathbb{C}^2). These can be studied topologically or using algebraic geometry.

A rough plan:

- Review algebraic varieties:
 - Riemann-Roch,
 - Curves,
 - Divisors,
 - Line bundles,
 - Picard group,
 - The canonical bundle.
- Complex analytic tools:
 - The exponential exact sequence,
 - Betti numbers,
 - Topological Euler characteristic.
- K3s:
 - Examples of K3s,
 - Enriques-Kodaira classification,
 - The intersection form.
- Hodge theory:
 - Periods, etc.

Remark 1.0.3: Recall the definition of an affine variety, e.g. $V(x_1, x_2) \subseteq \mathbf{A}_{/k}^2$ the union of the coordinate axes, or the cone $V(x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2) \subseteq \mathbf{A}_{/k}^3$. Alternatively, view them as schemes: $X = V(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \operatorname{Spec} k[X]$ where $k[X] := k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle$ is the ring of regular functions on X. The association: for any point $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n$ one can take the maximal ideals $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$, this is a bijection by the Nullstellensatz.

Remark 1.0.4: An example of why schemes are useful: consider V(y) and $V(y - x^2)$ in $\mathbf{A}_{/k}^2$. The set-theoretic intersection is $X \coloneqq V(y, y - x^2) = \{0\} \in \mathbf{A}^2$, but note that $k[X] = [x, y]/\langle y, x^2 \rangle \neq k = 1$

 $k[x,y]/\langle x,y\rangle$, so although e.g. $V(x) = V(x^2)$ these are distinguished as schemes by remembering the regular functions. A scheme like $V(x^2)$ is often drawn as a point with a tangent direction – the scheme remembers not only the values of the x_i , but also their various partial derivatives.

Remark 1.0.5: Recall that varieties carry the Zariski topology: the closed sets are of the form $V_X(I)$ for $I \leq k[X]$. From a scheme-theoretic perspective,

 $V(I) \coloneqq \left\{ \text{prime ideals } p \in X \mid p \supseteq I \right\}.$

Exercise 1.0.6 (?) Consider $X := V(xy) \subseteq \mathbf{A}^2$, one has $k[X] = k[x, y]/\langle xy \rangle$ and $I = \langle y - x - 1 \rangle$ corresponding to the line y = x + 1. What are the closed sets?

Remark 1.0.7: Note that $\mathbf{A}_{/\mathbf{C}}^1$ with the Zariski topology differs from $\mathbf{A}_{/\mathbf{C}}^1$ with the analytic topology. The closed sets are of the form V(I), and since $\mathbf{C}[x]$ has GCDs every ideal is principal and $I = \langle f \rangle \subseteq k[X]$ for some f. So closed sets are finite or the entire space, i.e. the cofinite topology. By Serre's GAGA, miraculously many results and computations are the same in either topology for compact (proper) varieties over \mathbf{C} .

Remark 1.0.8: Affine varieties/schemes form a category and there is an equivalence $\mathsf{AffSch}^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{CRing}$.

Example 1.0.9(?): An example of a morphism:

$$\varphi: \mathbf{A}^1 \to \mathbf{A}^2$$
$$t \mapsto (t^2, t^3)$$

This induces a map on regular functions $\varphi^* : \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{A}^2] \to \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{A}^1]$ which is of the form

$$\begin{split} \varphi^* &: \mathbf{C}[x,y] \to \mathbf{C}[t] \\ & x \mapsto t^2 \\ & y \mapsto t^3. \end{split}$$

One could similarly define φ with codomain $V(y^2 - x^3)$.

Remark 1.0.10: What are the regular functions on *open* sets? Let $U \subseteq X$ in the Zariski topology, then regular functions on U are ratios f/g of polynomials.

Example 1.0.11(?): Let $U \coloneqq \mathbf{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\} \subseteq \mathbf{A}^1$, then regular functions include $\frac{1}{x}$ and $\frac{1}{x-1}$.

Remark 1.0.12: Recall the definition of a sheaf; we'll write \mathcal{O}_X for the structure sheaf and regard $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ as the k-algebra of functions on U, satisfying the sheaf axioms of existence and uniqueness of gluing.

Remark 1.0.13: Write $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}$ for the sheaf of **regular** functions, then e.g. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}(\{a_1, \cdots, a_n\}^c) = \mathbf{C}[x] \Big[\frac{1}{x-a_1}, \cdots, \frac{1}{x-a_n} \Big]$, and more generally $\mathcal{O}_X(V(f)^c) = \mathbf{C}[x] \Big[\frac{1}{f} \Big]$. We'll sometimes distinguish $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}^{\text{hol}}$

which is defined on X^{an} instead (in the Euclidean topology), which is a priori different as a ringed space. Later we'll use this in the exponential exact sequence

$$2\pi i \underline{\mathbf{Z}} \to \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathcal{O}^{\times}$$
$$f \mapsto e^f.$$

Example 1.0.14(?): Schemes are useful in number theory: consider $X := \text{Spec } \mathbf{Z}$, then $\mathcal{O}_X(X) = \mathbf{Z}$. There is a point p for every prime, and a generic point 0. Note that e.g. $20 \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ can be regarded as a function on Spec \mathbf{Z} , and $V(20) := \left\{ p \mid p \supseteq \langle 20 \rangle \right\}$. It contains 2 and 5, but contains 2 more! So one might draw its "graph" in the following way:



Moreover one has $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec }\mathbf{Z}}(\{2,3\}^c) = \left\{ f/g \mid f, g \in \mathbf{Z}, g = 2^a 3^b \right\}.$

Remark 1.0.15: We can formulate manifolds and varieties in terms of transition functions: for $U, V \subseteq X$ and charts $\varphi_U, \varphi_V : X \to M$ for M some model space like \mathbf{A}^n or \mathbf{R}^n , we can require $t_{UV} = \varphi_V \circ \varphi_U^{-1} \Big|_{\varphi_U(U \cap V)}$ be continuous, smooth, holomorphic, etc. For schemes, the gluing will be by regular maps, e.g. $\mathbf{P}^1 = \mathbf{A}_1 \coprod_{t \to s = \frac{1}{t}} \mathbf{A}_1$ where t, s are the coordinates on each factor.

2 | Thursday, January 12

Remark 2.0.1: Recall the definition of $\mathbf{P}_{/k}^n$ as a variety: lines in $\mathbf{A}_{/k}^{n+1}$ passing through the origin. In the classical topology, it is compact since it can be realized as a quotient S^{2n+1}/S^1 . One can also cover it by affine charts $U_0 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{C} \left[\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \frac{x_2}{x_0} \right]$ and U_2, U_3 defined similarly, using that $[x_0:\cdots x_k\cdots:x_n] = \left[\frac{x_0}{x_k}:\cdots 1:\cdots \frac{x_n}{x_k} \right]$ on $\{x_k \neq 0\}$. Recall that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(U) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}_{U_i}(U \cap U_i) \mid f|_{U \cap U_i} = f|_{U \cap U_i} \right\}$

Example 2.0.2(?): $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\mathbf{P}^1) = \left\{ (f_0, f_1) \in k[s] \times k[t] \mid f_0(s) = f_1(s^{-1}) \text{ on } \mathbf{A}^1 \setminus \{0\} \right\}$ using that $t = s^{-1}$ on the overlap. This equals $k[s] \cap k[s^{-1}] = k$, so the only global regular functions are constant.

Proposition 2.0.3(?). Considering $\mathbf{P}^{1}_{/\mathbf{C}}$ in the analytic (classical) topology,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}_{/\mathbf{C}}}^{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathbf{P}^{1}_{/\mathbf{C}}) = \left\{ f : \mathbf{P}^{1}_{/\mathbf{C}} \to \mathbf{C} \text{ holomorphic} \right\} = \mathbf{C}.$$

Proof (?).

Since $\mathbf{P}_{/\mathbf{C}}^1$ is compact, f achieves a maximum value m at some point p, so write f(p) = m. Letting $U \ni p$ be a closed disk containing p, then f has a maximum on U. By the maximum modulus principle, $f|_U$ is constant, and so f is constant.

Remark 2.0.4: Call $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ the *projective* coordinate ring of $\mathbf{P}_{/k}^n$. Recall that $f \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is not a regular function on \mathbf{P}^n , but if f is homogeneous then V(f) is well-defined since $f(\lambda x_0, \dots, \lambda x_n) = 0 \iff \lambda^n f(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0.$

Example 2.0.5(?): Consider $V(s^2 - st) \subseteq \mathbf{P}^1_{/k}$, then s(s - t) vanishes on [0:1] and [1:1].

Example 2.0.6(?): Consider $V(x^3 + y^3 + z^3) \subseteq \mathbf{P}^2_{/\mathbf{C}}$ – topologically this is $S^1 \times S^1$ and defines an elliptic curve over \mathbf{C} .

Example 2.0.7 (of a K3 surface): $V(x_0^4 + x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4) \subseteq \mathbf{P}_{/\mathbf{C}}^3$ is a K3 surface.

Remark 2.0.8: More generally, $V(f_1, \dots, f_m) \subseteq \mathbf{P}_{/k}^n$ with f_i homogeneous of degrees d_i is a projective scheme, where we use the scheme structure to distinguish e.g. $V(s^2 - st)$ and $V(s^3 - s^2t)$.

Remark 2.0.9: Some recollections:

• The definition of irreducibility: X is reducible if $X = A \cup B$ for A, B proper nontrivial closed sets.

- E.g.
$$V(xy) = V(x) \cup V(y)$$
 is not irreducible in \mathbf{A}^2 .

- Dimension is defined in terms of lengths of chains of closed irreducible subsets.
- X is irreducible iff $k[X] \coloneqq k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]/I(X)$ is a domain iff I(X) is prime
- Krull's PID theorem, used to show dim $R/\langle f \rangle = \dim R 1$ if f is not a zero divisor

- To see why this is, consider $R = \mathbf{C}[x, y]$, then $\dim R/\langle xy \rangle = 1 = \dim \mathbf{C}[x] = \dim R/\langle x \rangle$.

• Spec R is reduced iff R has no nilpotents

- E.g. $V(x^2) = \operatorname{Spec} k[x] / \langle x^2 \rangle$ is not reduced, since x is nilpotent $(x^2 = 0 \text{ but } x \neq 0)$.

- $X \in \mathsf{Sch}$ is reduced iff $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ has no nilpotents, so every regular function f satisfies $f^n \neq 0$ for every n.
- Spec R is quasicompact.
 - E.g. \mathbf{A}^1 in the Zariski topology is the cofinite topology, so if $\mathcal{U} \rightrightarrows \mathbf{A}^1$ the U_1 covers all but finitely many points p_k and each p_k is in some U_k .
- Open sets are big: they are essentially the whole space, minus lower dimensional things.
- Completeness replaces compactness, where X is (universally) complete iff for all Y, the projection $X \times Y \to Y$ is a closed map.
 - \mathbf{A}^1 is not complete: take $Y \coloneqq \mathbf{A}^1$, then $V(xy 1) \mapsto \mathbf{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ is a closed set mapping to an open set.
- Producing varieties that aren't manifolds: $V(xy) \subseteq \mathbf{A}^2_{/\mathbf{C}}$ is singular at the origin and has no local chart to \mathbf{C} there.
- $V(f) \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ is a manifold when 0 is a regular value of f, so $df: T_p \mathbf{R}^n \to T_0 \mathbf{R}$ is surjective at all $p \in V(f)$.

- Can be formulated as $Jf \coloneqq \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right)$ has maximal rank everywhere.

3 | Tuesday, January 17

Remark 3.0.1: Recall $X = V(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \operatorname{Spec} R \subseteq \mathbf{A}_{/k}^n$ where $R \coloneqq \mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle$ is smooth if Jac $\{f_i\} = \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right)$ has maximal rank $r = \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbf{A}^n} X$ at all points $x \in X$, and we'll give a more intrinsic notion of smoothness which does not depend on the choice of equations $\{f_i\}$. Over $k = \mathbf{C}$, if X is smooth it is a complex manifold.

Example 3.0.2(?): For $X := V(x^2 + y^2 + 1)$, note $\nabla f = [2x, 2y]$ has rank 1 everywhere except 0, but since $0 \notin X$, in fact X is smooth.

Definition 3.0.3 (Kähler differentials) Recall that $\Omega^1 R/k \coloneqq \bigoplus R dr/I$ where

$$I = \left\langle d(rs) = rds + sdr, d(cr) = cdr, d(r+s) = dr + ds \mid r, s \in R, c \in k \right\rangle.$$

Note that $\Omega^1_{R/\mathbf{C}} \in {}_R \mathsf{Mod}$, while $\Omega^1_{X/\mathbf{C}} \in {}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathsf{Mod}$ is a sheaf.

Example 3.0.4(?): Example: for $R = \mathbf{C}[x,y]/\langle x^2 + y^2 + 1 \rangle$, we have $\Omega^1_{R/\mathbf{C}} = Rdx + Rdy/I$. Noting $x^2 + y^2 + 1 = 0$ in R, we have

$$0 = d(x^2 + y^2 + 1) = 2xdx + 2ydy.$$

Definition 3.0.5 (Smoothness)

X is **smooth** iff the rank of $\Omega^1_{X/\mathbb{C}}$ at p is dim X for every $p \in X$. For X a variety, point $p \in X$ correspond to $\mathfrak{m}_p \in \mathrm{mSpec}\,R$ and $\Omega^1_{R/\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{m}_x \in \mathrm{mod}\,R/\mathfrak{m}_p$, so we take

$$\operatorname{rank}_p \Omega^1_{X/\mathbf{C}} \coloneqq \dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}_p}(\Omega^1_{R/\mathbf{C}}/k)$$

where $R/\mathfrak{m}_p \cong k$ is a fixed field via the map $f \mapsto f(p)$.

Example 3.0.6(?): The previous example is still smooth: we have

$$\Omega^1_{R/\mathbf{C}}/\mathfrak{m}_p = rac{\mathbf{C}dx \oplus \mathbf{C}dy}{x(p)dx + y(p)dy}$$

which has C-dimension 1 if we don't have x(p) = y(p) = 0. This exactly recovers the Jacobi criterion.

Definition 3.0.7 ($\mathcal{O}_X \mathsf{Mod}$) An \mathcal{O}_X -module is a sheaf \mathcal{F} on X where

- *F*(*U*) ∈ _{O_X(*U*)}Mod, so the sections are modules over regular functions, and
 F(*U*) → *F*(*V*) is compatible with the module structure and *O_X(U*) → *O_X(V*), so $\operatorname{Res}_{UV}(f.s) = \operatorname{Res}_{UV}(f)$. $\operatorname{Res}_{UV}(s)$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ and $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$.

Example 3.0.8(?): $\Omega^1_{X/\mathbb{C}} \in \mathcal{O}_X \operatorname{Mod}$, where the sections are 1-forms on open sets, as is \mathcal{O}_X itself. An example: $\Omega^1_{\mathbf{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}} = \mathbf{C}[x, x^{-1}] dx.$

Example 3.0.9(?): Let $X = \mathbf{A}_{/\mathbf{C}}^1$, then let \mathcal{O}_p be the skyscraper sheaf at p. This can be made into an \mathcal{O}_X -module in the following way: for $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U), s \in \mathcal{O}_p$, define $f \cdot s = f(p)s$. How to visualize: think of \mathcal{O}_X as a trivial bundle.



Compare to the skyscraper sheaf:



Definition 3.0.10 (Morphisms in $\mathcal{O}_X \mathsf{Mod}$)

If $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{O}_X$ Mod then $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ is a morphism iff it is a morphism of sheaves, so a collection $\varphi(U) : \mathcal{F}(U) \to \mathcal{G}(U)$, which are compatible with the module actions.

Example 3.0.11(?): There is a morphism $\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_p$ of sheaves determined by

$$\varphi(U): \mathcal{O}_X(U) \to \mathcal{O}_p$$
$$f \mapsto f(p)$$

which is a morphism in $\mathcal{O}_X \mathsf{Mod}$ since $(g \cdot f)(p) = g(p) \cdot f(p)$ is defined by pointwise multiplication.



Recall that the presheaf ker φ is a sheaf, and here ker $\varphi(U) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U) \mid f(p) = 0\}$ is the *ideal* sheaf of p, I_p , so we get a SES

$$I_p \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}^1_{/\mathbf{C}}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_p.$$

Definition 3.0.12 (Ideal sheaf) For $V \subseteq W$ a subvariety, define

$$I_V(U) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}_W(U) \mid f|_{V \cap U} = 0 \right\}.$$

Example 3.0.13(?): Let $X = \mathbf{P}^{1}_{/\mathbf{C}}$, then recall $\mathcal{O}_{X}(X) = \mathbf{C}$ in this case. Letting $p \neq q \in X$, there is a map

$$\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_p \oplus \mathcal{O}_q$$

 $f \mapsto f(p) \oplus f(q)$

This is a surjection of sheaves, despite not being surjective on global sections: $\mathcal{O}_X(X) = \mathbb{C}$, while $(\mathcal{O}_p \oplus \mathcal{O}_q)(X) = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$. However, this is an open cover $\mathcal{U} \rightrightarrows X$ where this is a surjection on sections: take $U_1 := X \setminus \{p\}$ and $U_2 := X \setminus \{q\}$. Here we get a SES

$$I_{\{p,q\}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1_{/\mathbf{C}}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_p \oplus \mathcal{O}_q$$

Example 3.0.14(?): For $X = \mathbf{A}^{1}_{/\mathbf{C}}$, there is an isomorphism

$$\Omega^1_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X \in \mathcal{O}_X \operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} f \mapsto f \, dx.$$

Remark 3.0.15: For X not affine, what is Ω_X^1 ? If $\omega = \sum f_i dx_i$ in one chart and $\sum g_i dy_i$ in another via charts \vec{x}, \vec{y} , how are they related? One needs a notion of pullbacks. We define $\Omega_X^1(U)$ to be well-defined 1-forms $\omega_i \in \Omega_X^1(U \cap U_i)$ which are compatible on overlaps.

Example 3.0.16(?): Let $X = \mathbf{P}^1$, glued from affines $U_0 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{C}[s]$ and $U_1 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{C}[t]$ by

$$t_{01}: U_0 \to U_1$$
$$s \mapsto t = s^{-1}.$$

Take $\omega_i \in \Omega^1_X(U_i)$, then

- $\omega_0 = f_0(s)ds \in \mathbf{C}[s]ds$
- $\omega_1 = f_1(t)dt \in \mathbf{C}[t]dt$

Then the compatibility condition is that

$$t_{01}^*(\omega_1) = f_1(s^{-1})d(s^{-1}) = f_0(s)ds.$$

This becomes

$$-\frac{f_1(s^{-1})}{s^2}ds = f_0(s)ds$$

$$\implies f_1(s^{-1}) = -s^2 f_0(s)$$

$$\implies c_0 + c_1 s^{-1} + c_2 s^{-2} + \dots + c_k s^{-k} = d_0 s^2 + d_1 s^3 + \dots + d_r s^r$$

which can only be true if $f \equiv 0$. This implies that Ω_X^1 is a line bundle.

Definition 3.0.17 (Vector bundle)

A line bundle on X is $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{O}_X \operatorname{Mod}$ where $\exists \mathcal{U} \rightrightarrows X$ where $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i} = \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$. A vector bundle of rank r is such an \mathcal{F} where $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i} = \mathcal{O}_{U_i}^{\oplus^r}$ for some r.

Example 3.0.18(?): \mathcal{O}_p is not a vector bundle, since $\mathcal{O}_p(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X(U)^{\oplus^r}$ for any r or any affine open $U \ni p$.

Definition 3.0.19 (Divisors) A Weil divisor on X is a **Z**-linear combination of irreducible codimension 1 subvarieties. For $D = \sum n_i p_i$, its degree is $\sum n_i$.

Example 3.0.20(?): The irreducible codimension 1 subvarieties of \mathbf{P}^1 are points, so

$$\operatorname{WDiv}(\mathbf{P}^1) = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbf{P}^1} \mathbf{Z}[p].$$

For example, WDiv $(\mathbf{P}_{/\mathbf{C}}^1) \ni D \coloneqq 2[0] - [\pi] + 3[\infty]$ and deg D = 4. Similarly, WDiv $(\mathbf{A}^2) \ni [V(x)] - [V(y)]$.

Definition 3.0.21 (Divisors of functions) Let X be irreducible and $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ with $U \subseteq X$ Zariski open, and define $\operatorname{div}(f) \coloneqq \sum n_i[D_i]$ where n_i is the order of zeros/poles of f along D_i .

Example 3.0.22(?): Let $x/y^2 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}^2}(V(y)^c)$, then $\operatorname{div}(x/y^2) = [V(x)] - 2[V(y)]$. Similarly, $f := \frac{s^2 - t^2}{st} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\})$ has divisor $\operatorname{div}(f) = [1] + [-1] - [0] - [\infty]$.

Thursday, January 19 (Divisors)

Remark 4.0.1: Recall that exp is surjective as a map of sheaves. On open contractible subsets $U \subseteq \mathbf{C}$, for any $g \in \mathcal{O}_{hol}^{\times}(U)$ there is an $f \coloneqq \log(g)$, but $z \mapsto \log(z) \notin \mathcal{O}_{hol}(\mathbf{C}^{\times})$. Thus surjections of sheaves need not induce surjections on global sections, the failure is measured by sheaf cohomology.

Definition 4.0.2 (Divisor class group) Define the **principal Weil divisors** as

$$\operatorname{Prin} WDiv = \left\{ \operatorname{div}(f) \mid f \in K(X) \right\},\$$

divisors of nonzero rational functions. Here $\operatorname{div}(f) = \sum n_Y[Y]$ where n_Y is the order of vanishing/poles along Y. We then define the (Weil) divisor class group as

$$W \operatorname{Cl}(X) \coloneqq \operatorname{WDiv}(X) / \operatorname{Prin}\operatorname{Div}(X).$$

Example 4.0.3(?): On \mathbf{P}^1 , div $\left(\frac{s^2-t^2}{st}\right) = [1] + [-1] - [0] - [\infty]$, regarding $\infty = s/t$.

Example 4.0.4(?): $\operatorname{Cl}(\mathbf{A}^1) = 0$ since $\sum n_p[p] = \operatorname{div} f$ where $f = \prod (x - p)^{n_p}$.

Example 4.0.5(?): There is an isomorphism

$$\deg: \operatorname{WCl}(\mathbf{P}^1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Z}$$
$$\sum n_p[p] \mapsto \sum n_p.$$

E.g. considering div () $[1] + [-1] - [0] = [\infty]$ in Cl(**P**¹).

Example 4.0.6(?): Consider $Cl(Spec \mathbf{Z})$: principal divisors are primes, so $WDiv(Spec \mathbf{Z}) =$ $\left\{\sum_{p \text{ prime}} n_p[p]\right\}$. Rational functions on Spec **Z** are identified with **Q**, and if $r = \prod p_i^{n_i} \in \mathbf{Q}$ then $\operatorname{div}(r) = \sum n_i[p_i]$, so $\operatorname{Cl}(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}) = 0$ since every $\sum_{p \text{ prime}} n_p[p]$ is the divisor of some $r \in \mathbf{Z} \subseteq \mathbf{Q}$.

Example 4.0.7 (?): For $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$ for $R := \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$, we have $\operatorname{WDiv}(X) = \sum_{p \neq 0 \text{ prime ideals }} n_p[p]$, and the rational functions on X are $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$. Since $R \in \mathbb{D}$, there is unique factorization of (fractional) ideals, so writing $(r) = \prod p_i^{n_i}$ we have div $r = \sum n_i [p_i]$. However, R is not a UFD, considering $2 \cdot 3 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5}).$

Consider $r = 1 \cdot [p]$ for $p = (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})$? Ask.

Definition 4.0.8 (Cartier divisors)

A **Cartier divisor** is a collection of rational functions f_i on U_i such that $\operatorname{div}(f_i) = \operatorname{div}(f_j)$ on U_{ij} . These form a group $\operatorname{CDiv}(X)$, and there is a corresponding class group $\operatorname{Ca}\operatorname{Cl}(X) \coloneqq$ $\operatorname{CDiv}(X)/\operatorname{Prin}\operatorname{CDiv}(X).$

Example 4.0.9(?): Write $\mathbf{P}^1 = U_0 \cup U_1$ and consider

- f₀ = s on U₀ = Spec C[s]
 f₁ = 1 on U₁ = Spec C[t]

Note div $f_0 = [0]$ on U_0 and div $f_1 = 0$ on U_1 , but $f_0|_{U_{01}}$ has no poles or zeros and thus div $f_0|_{U_{01}} = 0$ $0 = \operatorname{div} f_1|_{U_{01}}.$

Fact 4.0.10

If X is smooth then WDiv(X) = CDiv(X). Note all Weil divisors are Cartier: consider X = $V(xy-z^2) \subseteq \mathbf{A}^3$, which is a circular cone. Note that V(z) is a union of two lines along the edge of the cone. Consider $D = V_X(z, y)$, an irreducible codimension 1 subvariety, so $D \in WDiv(X)$. This is locally principal away from the origin, since one can slice by the plane z = 0. Suppose f(x, y, z)cuts out D at 0, then write $f = c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 y + c_3 z + \cdots$. Since f(0) = 0 we have $c_0 = 0$, and the remaining terms always cut out two lines. On the other hand, 2D is Cartier and principal, since the tangent plane along the cone $V_X(y) = V_X(y, z^2)$ cuts out a doubled line.

Remark 4.0.11: Recall that line bundles are $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{O}_X \mathsf{Mod}$ locally isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_{U_i} . Given $D \in \operatorname{CDiv}(X)$ with Cartier data $\{(f_i, U_i)\}$ with f_i rational on U_i and $\operatorname{div}(f_i) = \operatorname{div}(f_j)$ on overlaps. Define $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ to be the sheaf whose sections over U are $\{(s_i) \in \mathcal{O}_X(U \cap U_i) s_i f_i = s_j f_j\}$, so the sections are related by $s_j = \frac{f_i}{f_j} s_i$ on U_{ij} . Write $t_{ij} = \frac{f_j}{f_i} \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_i \cap U_j)$ for the transition functions.

Example 4.0.12(?): Write $\mathbf{P}^1 = U_0 \cup U_1$ and $D = \{(s, U_0), (1, U_1)\} \in \mathrm{CDiv}(\mathbf{P}^1)$, and consider $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(D)$. This is given by $\{p \in k[s], q \in k[t] \mid p = sq\}$. Writing $t = s^{-1}$, we have $p(s) = sq(s^{-1})$, so if q = 1 then p = s and if q(t) = t then p = 1. One can check $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(D) = \mathbf{C} \langle s, 1 \rangle \oplus \mathbf{C} \langle 1, t \rangle$.

Exercise 4.0.13 (?) Show that if $D = \{(s^k, U_0), (1, U_1)\}$ then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(D) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(k)$, whose global sections are homogeneous degree k polynomials on \mathbf{P}^1 .

5 | Tuesday, January 24

Remark 5.0.1: Recall that WDiv(X) = Ca Div(X) if X is smooth or if $codim X_{sing} \ge 3$, and for any $D \in CDiv(X)$,

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D)(U) \coloneqq \left\{ f \in k(U) \mid \operatorname{div} f + D \ge 0 \right\} \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$$

is a line bundle.

Example 5.0.2(?): Let $D = V(xyz) \subseteq \mathbf{P}^2$, then D is 3 copies of \mathbf{P}^1 linked in a triangle. Consider $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(D)(\mathbf{P}^2)$, so div $f = -L_1 - L_2 - L_3 + \sum n_p P$ for some $n_p \ge 0$. Since $f \in k(\mathbf{P}^2) \implies f = a/b$ with a, b homogeneous polynomials of the same degree, one example is f(x, y, z) = a(x, y, z)/xyz with a homogeneous of degree 3. Thus $\mathsf{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(D)) \cong k[x, y, z]^{3,\text{homog}}$.

Proposition 5.0.3(?). If $D \equiv D' \in Cl(X)$, so $D - D' = \operatorname{div} h$ for some h, then $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D')$ as sheaves.

Proof (?). One needs a map $\mathcal{O}_X(D)(U) \to \mathcal{O}_X(D')(U)$ for every open $U \subseteq X$, so take the map

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D')(U) \to \mathcal{O}_X(D)(U)$$

 $f' \mapsto f \coloneqq f' \cdot h^{-1}.$

Since $\operatorname{div}(f'h^{-1}) = \operatorname{div}(f') - \operatorname{div}(h)$, we have

 $\operatorname{div} f' + D' \ge 0 \iff \operatorname{div} f' + D' + \operatorname{div} h \ge \operatorname{div} h \iff \operatorname{div} f + D \ge 0.$

Remark 5.0.4: Note that if $D \ge 0$ then $\mathcal{O}_X(D)(X) \ni 1$, the constant function, and this is a global section so $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) > 0$.

Fact 5.0.5

Any irreducible codimension 1 $D \subseteq \mathbf{P}^n$ is of the form V(f) for a single function f, which follows from the fact that any height 1 prime in $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is principal. Thus $\text{Div}(\mathbf{P}^n) =$

$$\left\{\sum_{f\in k[x_0,\cdots,x_n]^{\text{homog,irr}}} n_f[V(f)]\right\}, \text{ and if } D = \sum n_f[V(f)] \text{ and } D' = \sum n_{f'}[V(f')], \text{ then } D \equiv D' \iff \sum n_f \deg f = \sum n_{f'} \deg f', \text{ noting } D - D' = \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\prod f^{n_f}}{\prod (f')^{n_{f'}}}\right) \text{ which is a rational function on } \mathbf{P}^n.$$
 So $\operatorname{Cl}(\mathbf{P}^n) \xrightarrow{\sim}_{\operatorname{deg}} \mathbf{Z}$ where $\sum n_f[V(f)] \mapsto \sum n_f \deg f.$

Definition 5.0.6 (?)

 $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is the group of line bundles on X up to isomorphism, with group structure given by the following: for $L_1, L_2 \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$, define

$$(L_1 \otimes L_2)(U) \coloneqq L_1(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} L_2(U).$$

Alternatively, the transition functions on the tensor product are products of transition functions:

$$t_{UV}^{L_1 \otimes L_2} = t_{UV}^{L_1} \cdot t_{UV}^{L_2}.$$

The identity element is \mathcal{O}_X , since $L_1(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} \mathcal{O}_X(U) = L_1(U)$. Inverses are given by $L^{-1} := \mathcal{H}om(L, \mathcal{O}_X)$, so $L^{-1}(U) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)}(L(U), \mathcal{O}_X(U))$ on small enough open sets, and the transition functions are given by

$$t_{UV}^{L^{-1}} = (t_{UV}^L)^{-1}$$

It can be checked that if L satisfies the cocycle condition iff L^{-1} does, and similarly for $L_1 \otimes L_2$.

Proposition 5.0.7(?). If X is smooth then $Pic(X) \cong Cl(X)$ via $D \rightleftharpoons \mathcal{O}_X(D)$.

Proof (?).

This uses that $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D') \iff D \equiv D'$, the interesting part is to show surjectivity. Let $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$, then $L|_U = \mathcal{O}_U$ for some U, and we can consider $1 \in \mathcal{O}_U(U) \cong L(U)$. In any other trivialization, $L|_V \cong \mathcal{O}_V$ and there is a transition function $t_{UV} \in k(V)$. Since $1 \in \mathcal{O}_U(U)$, we have div(1) = D where the LHS is regarded as a rational section of L, and $L \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D)$.

Definition 5.0.8 (Rational sections) A **rational section** of *L* is a section of $L \otimes k(X)$.

Remark 5.0.9: This allows for a section $s \in H^0(L)$ to have poles, and $L \cong \mathcal{O}(\operatorname{div}(s))$ for any section s of L. If s, s' are rational sections, then s/s' is a rational function. Concretely, if $s = \{s_u \in k(U) \mid t_{UV}s_U = s_V\}$ and $s' = \{s'_U \in k(U) \mid t_{UV}s'_U = s'_V\}$. Then $s/s' = \{\frac{s_U}{s'_U = s_V/s'_V}\} \in k(X)$, so $\operatorname{div}(s) = \operatorname{div}(s')$.

Remark 5.0.10: The degree of any principal divisor on a curve is zero.

Example 5.0.11(?): More interesting examples come from elliptic curves. Write $X = \mathbf{C}/\Lambda$ where $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}\tau$ with $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ This yields a complex manifold, since the transition functions are translations and thus holomorphic. We can write $\text{Div}(X) \ni D = \sum n_p[p]$ where $p \in X$ are points. A meromorphic function is a rational function $f: X \to \mathbf{C}$ which extends to a holomorphic map $f: X \to \mathbf{CP}^1$ by mapping poles to ∞ – note that this extension only works because X is complex dimension 1, and does not work in higher dimensions. This pulls back to $\tilde{f}: \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{P}^1$ which satisfies $\tilde{f}(z + \lambda) = \tilde{f}(z)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. The Weierstrass \wp -function is defined by

$$\wp(z) \coloneqq \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} \left(\frac{1}{(z-\lambda)^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right),$$

which averages over the lattice and is thus periodic. Note

$$\frac{1}{(z-\lambda)^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} = \frac{\lambda^2 - (z-\lambda)^2}{(z-\lambda)^2\lambda^2}.$$

where the denominator is $\geq C|\lambda|^4$ for $|z| \gg 1$ and the numerator is $\leq c|\lambda|$ for $|z| \gg 1$, so

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} C|\lambda|^{-3} \le C \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |\lambda|^{-3} = C \iint r^{-3} r \, dr \, d\theta$$

which converges. So the extra constant $\frac{1}{\lambda^2}$ is necessary to make the series converge. Since translating by $\lambda \in \Lambda$ rearranges the series, $\wp(z)$ is a well-defined rational function on X with a double pole at every $z \in \Lambda$, corresponding to $0 \in \mathbf{C}/\Lambda$. So div $\wp(z) = -2[0]$, and it induces $X \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{P}^1$ where we have deg $\pi = \text{deg } \pi^{-1}(0) = \text{deg } \pi^{-1}(\infty)$.

Proposition 5.0.12(?). Let $f \in \mathbf{C}(X)$ be meromorphic and let div $f = \sum n_p[p]$.

1. $\sum n_p = 0$ 2. $\sum n_p[p] \equiv 0 \mod \Lambda$

Proof (?). Let $f \in \mathbf{C}(X)$ with some zeros and poles. Take the following contour:



Note that $\int_{\gamma} d\text{Log}(f) = \int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \sum_{p \text{ inside}} n_p$ by the residue theorem. Recall that in local coordinates w, if $f(w) = cw^{-k} + \cdots$ then $d\text{Log}(f) = -k\frac{dw}{w} + h(w)$ where h is holomorphic. However, by periodicity, the edge integrals cancel and $\int_{C_1+C_2} d\text{Log}(f) = \sum_{p \text{ edge}} -n_p$, forcing $\sum n_p = 0$. Now considering $\int_{C_1+C_2} d\text{Log}(f) = \sum_{p \text{ inside}} n_p p$ and $\text{Res}_p z d\text{Log}(f) = n_p \cdot z(p)$. On the other

Now considering $\int_{\gamma} z \, d\text{Log}(f) = \sum_{p \text{ inside}} n_p p$ and $\text{Res}_p z \, d\text{Log}(f) = n_p \cdot z(p)$. On the other hand, $-\sum_{p \text{ edge}} n_p p + \int_{A \setminus A'} z \, d\text{Log}(f)$.

6 | Thursday, February 02

Theorem 6.0.1 (*Riemann-Hurwitz*). If $f: C \to D$ is a map of smooth complete curves then

 $2g(C) - 2 = \deg(f) \cdot (2g(D) - 2) + \deg R(f)$

where $R(f) = \sum_{p \in C} (e_p - 1)[p]$ is the ramification divisor.

Example 6.0.2(?): Take

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C} \\ z \mapsto z^4 \end{array}$$

which is a 4-fold cover

Thursday, February 02



Example 6.0.3(?): If $f: C \to \mathbf{P}^1$ is degree 2 then f = a/b where $a, b \in H^0(C; K_C)$, and Riemann-Hurwitz gives deg R(f) = 6. If [s:t] are homogeneous coordinates on \mathbf{P}^1 , then one can take an equation of the form $z^2 = f_6(s,t)$ for $f_6(s,t) = \prod_{i=1}^6 (a_i s - b_i t)$ is a homogeneous degree 6 polynomial, so $f \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^1; \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(6))$ and $z \in \operatorname{Tot}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-3)$:



Exercise 6.0.4 (?) Describe why $z \notin \text{Tot}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(3)$ instead.

Exercise 6.0.5 (?)

Recall that the normalization of a ring R is the integral closure of R in ff(R). Compute the

normalization of $y^2 = x^3$ using the algebraic definition.

Example 6.0.6(?): An example of normalization:



Fact 6.0.7

Integrally closed and 1-dimensional implies smooth.

Exercise 6.0.8 (?)

Recall the definition of the Čech cochain complex and compute $\check{H}(S^1; \mathcal{F})$ using an open cover of two sets.

7 | Tuesday, February 07

Remark 7.0.1: Last time: Čech cochains defined as $C^p_{\mathcal{U}}(X;\mathcal{F}) \coloneqq \bigoplus_{i_0 < \dots < i_p} \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0,\dots,i_p})$ with homology defined as $\check{H}^*(X;\mathcal{F}) \coloneqq \underline{\operatorname{colim}}_{\mathcal{U}} H^*(C^*_{\mathcal{U}}(X;\mathcal{F}))$, and a SES of sheaves yields a LES in homology. Recall $\operatorname{Cl}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ in our setting via $D \mapsto \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ which sends U to $\{f \in k(U) \mid \operatorname{div} f + D \ge 0\}$.

Claim:

$$\operatorname{Pic}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(X; \mathcal{O}_X^{\times})$$
$$L \mapsto [(t_{UV})].$$

Proof (?).

Write $C_{\mathcal{U}}^{1}(X; \mathcal{O}_{X}^{\times}) = \{(t_{UV}) \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}(U \cap V) \mid U, V \in \mathcal{U}\}$ for some open cover $\mathcal{U} \rightrightarrows X$, and $Z_{\mathcal{U}}^{1}(X; \mathcal{O}_{X}^{\times}) = \{(t_{UV}) \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}(U \cap V)\}$ with boundary $\partial^{1}(t_{UV}) = (t_{VW}t_{UW}^{-1}t_{UV})$. Note that $t_{UW} = t_{UV}t_{VW}$. A line bundle $L \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ has a trivialization, and we can refine \mathcal{U} to a cover that trivializes L, so $L|_{U} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U}$ as sheaves for each U. We have $\pi^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} U \times \mathbf{C}$, and $h_{V} \circ h_{U}^{-1} : (U \cap V) \times \mathbf{C} \to (U \cap V) \times \mathbf{C}$ is multiplication by $t_{UV}(p)$ on the fiber over $p \in U \cap V$. These satisfy $t_{UV}t_{VW} = t_{UW}$, so any L defines an element of $Z^{1}(X; \mathcal{O}_{X}^{\times})$ by sending L to its transition function. Conversely, given (t_{UV}) , one can attempt to glue these to form a line bundle, but which collections define the same bundle? Given two line bundles, refine their trivializing covers so that they coincide. Then any two trivializations $L_U \xrightarrow{h_U, h'_U} \mathcal{O}_U$ differ by an element $f_U \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}(U)$:



Link to Diagram

On overlaps, we have $t_{UV} \mapsto f_U^{-1} t_{UV}$ and $t_{VU} \mapsto f_U t_{VU}$, so at the level of tuples, $(t_{UV}) \mapsto (t_{UV}) \cdot \partial^0(f_U)$ and thus (t_{UV}) is uniquely defined up to $\partial^0(C^0_{\mathcal{U}}(X; \mathcal{O}_X^{\times}))$.

Remark 7.0.2: This works for higher rank vector bundles: one has $t_{VW}t_{UV} = t_{UW}$ in $\text{Hol}(U \cap V \cap W, \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$, however for $n \geq 2$ this is a nonabelian group and order matters. In this case we

get e.g. $\partial^1(t_{UV}) = (t_{UV}tUW^{-1}t_{VW})$. One has

{Vector bundles on X} $\xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(X; \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}))$

where $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})(U) = \{ \text{holomorphic functions } U \to \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{C}) \}.$

Remark 7.0.3: Recall the exponential SES; taking the LES yields $c_1 : \operatorname{Pic}(X) \to H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. For X a smooth curve, $c_1 = \deg$. For $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$, one can define the fundamental class in X by taking the fundamental class $[D] \in H_{\dim_{\mathbf{R}} D}(D; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\dim_{\mathbf{R}} D}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim}_{\operatorname{PD}} H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 7.0.4: Why is $c_1 = \deg$ true? Consider $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_C(p)$ for $p \in C$ a point on a curve. One can take the *point bundle construction*: let $U \ni p$ be a neighborhood of p and V the complement of a smaller neighborhood of p, so $U \cap V$ is an annulus. For $z : U \to \mathbb{C}$ a local coordinate, one can form a Cartier divisor $\{(U, z), (V, 1)\}$ with transition function $t_{UV} = 1/z$. Note that $H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(p)) \ni s = (s_U = z, s_V = 1)$ has a section which vanishes precisely at p.



Refine the open cover to split U into two open subsets, then

$$c_1(\mathcal{O}_C(p)) = ((z^{-1})_{U_1V}, (z^{-1})_{U_2V}, (1)_{U_1U_2}) \in Z^1(C; \mathcal{O}^{\times}).$$

Lifting to $C^1(C; \mathcal{O})$ using that exponential surjects on sheaves yields $(-\log(z), -\log(z), 0) \in C^1(C; \mathcal{O})$. Taking its boundary yields

$$\partial^{1}((-\log z)_{U_{1}V},(-\log z)_{U_{2}V},(0)_{U_{1}U_{2}}) = ((-\log z)_{U_{2}V} + (\log z)_{U_{i}V})_{U_{1}U_{2}V}$$

which is 0 on the top component of $U_1 \cap U_2 \cap V$ and $2\pi i$ on the bottom. This is an element of $\underline{2\pi i \mathbf{Z}}(U_1 \cap U_2 \cap V) \in Z^2(C; \underline{2\pi i \mathbf{Z}}) \to H^2(X; \underline{\mathbf{Z}})$. Thus $c_1(\mathcal{O}_C(p)) = [p]$ is the fundamental class of p.

Definition 7.0.5 (?)

$$NS(X) := \operatorname{im} c_1, \quad \operatorname{Pic}^0(X) := \ker c_1.$$

Example 7.0.6(?): For X = E an elliptic curve, $\operatorname{Pic} X = E \times \mathbb{Z}$ where $D \mapsto (D, \deg D)$. Thus $\operatorname{NS}(E) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $E = \operatorname{Pic}^0(E) = \{ [p] - [0] \mid p \in E \}$. Note that $\operatorname{Pic}^0(X) \cong \operatorname{Jac}(X)$ in this case.

Remark 7.0.7: If X is smooth projective, global holomorphic functions are constant, so part of the LES breaks into an exact piece:

$$H^0(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}^n \hookrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}) = \mathbf{C}^n \twoheadrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}^{\times}) = (\mathbf{C}^{\times})^n \qquad n = \sharp \pi_0 X.$$

Thus $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X) = H^{1}(X; \mathcal{O})/H^{1}(X; \mathbf{Z})$, and Hodge theory shows rank $H^{1}(X; \mathbf{Z}) = 2 \dim_{\mathbf{C}} H^{1}(X; \mathcal{O})$ and the image of $H^{1}(X; \mathbf{Z}) \to H^{1}(X; \mathcal{O})$ is discrete. This yields $\mathbf{Z}^{2r} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{r}$ with image Λ a lattice and $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} X \cong \mathbf{C}^{r}/\Lambda$. In particular, for C a smooth genus g curve, $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} X \cong \mathbf{C}^{g}/\Lambda$. Note that $H^{1}(C; \mathbf{Z})$ carries the intersection pairing, which induces a symplectic form and thus a polarization.

8 | Thursday, February 09

Remark 8.0.1: Last time: $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \cong H^1(X; \mathcal{O}_X^{\times})$ and

$$c_1 : \operatorname{Pic}(X) \to H^2(X; \mathbf{Z})$$

 $\mathcal{O}(D) \mapsto [D]$

with $\operatorname{im} c_1 = \operatorname{NS}(X)$ and $\operatorname{ker} c_1 = \operatorname{Pic}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda$ where $H^1(X; \mathcal{O}) \cong \mathbb{C}^g$. Today: consider the cohomology of vector bundles on a complex manifold X.

Definition 8.0.2 ((p, q)-forms) A **smooth** (p, q)-form is locally of the form

$$\sum_{|I|=p,|J|=q} a_{I,\overline{J}} \, dz_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \, dz_{i_p} \wedge \, d\overline{z}_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \, d\overline{z}_{j_q}.$$

Let $A^{p,q}$ be the sheaf of smooth (p,q)-forms

Example 8.0.3(?): Some examples:

- $A^{0,1}(\mathbf{C}) \ni \omega \coloneqq z\overline{z} \, d\overline{z}.$
- $A^{1,0}(\mathbf{C}^{\times}) \ni \alpha \coloneqq \log |z| dz$
- $A^{1,1}(\mathbf{C}^2) \ni \alpha \coloneqq e^{z_1} dz_1 \wedge d\overline{z}_2 + \overline{z}_2 d\overline{z}_1 \wedge dz_2.$
- An example of differentiation: $d(e^{z_2} dz_1 + d\overline{z}_1 dz_2) = e^{z_2} dz_2 \wedge dz_1 + d\overline{z}_1 \wedge dz_2$.

Remark 8.0.4: Let Ω^p be the holomorphic (p, 0) forms, noting that differentiation d on smooth forms is not a map of $C^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C})$ -modules since $d(f\alpha) = fd(\alpha) + df \wedge \alpha$ for $f \in C^{\infty}(U, \mathbb{C})$ and $\alpha A^k(U)$. There is a decomposition

$$A^{k}(U) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} A^{p,q}(U),$$

which leads to a decomposition of sheaves. Define $\partial = \pi_{p+1,q}(d)$ and $\overline{\partial} : \pi_{p,q+1}(d)$, this yields a complex

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to A^{0,0} \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}} A^{0,1} \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}} A^{0,2} \to \dots \to A^{0,\dim X} \to 0,$$

which is an exact sequence of sheaves. Noting that $d^2 = 0$, one has

- 9
- $\partial^2 = 0$,
- $\overline{\partial}^2 = 0$,
- $\partial \overline{\partial} + \overline{\partial} \partial = 0.$

See the Poincaré $\overline{\partial}$ lemma.

Remark 8.0.5: More generally, for a vector bundle $E \in \mathcal{O}_X \operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}}$, note that $\mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow A^{0,0}$ yields $\mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\infty}$, so can form $E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} C^{\infty}$. Locally, $E \cong \mathcal{O}^{\oplus^r}$ on U, so one has $E \otimes A^{0,0} \cong (C^{\infty})^{\oplus^r}$ on U. This yields $0 \to E \hookrightarrow E \otimes A^{0,0}$, and the claim is that there is a well-defined map $E \otimes A^{0,0} \to E \otimes A^{0,1}$. Locally this is given by $[f_1, \cdots, f_r] \mapsto [\overline{\partial} f_1, \cdots, \overline{\partial} f_r]$. In a different trivialization, $s_V = t_{UV}(f_1, \cdots, f_r)$ where t_{UV} is a holomorphic function valued in $\operatorname{GL}_r(\mathbf{C})$. One has $\overline{\partial}(t_{UV} \circ (f_1, \cdots, f_r)) = t_{UV}(\overline{\partial} f_1, \cdots, \overline{\partial} f_r)$ since $\overline{\partial}(t_{UV}) = 0$, noting that in the first expression one is carrying out matrix multiplication.

Definition 8.0.6 (Dolbeault complex)

$$0 \to E \xrightarrow{i} E \otimes A^{0,0} \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}} E \otimes A^{0,1} \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}} E \otimes A^{0,\dim X} \to 0.$$

and $H^*(X; E)$ can be computed from the homology of this complex.

Fact 8.0.7

For any smooth vector bundle $V \to M$ over a manifold M, $\check{H}^{i\geq 1}(M;V) = 0$ since M admits partitions of unity. Moreover if $\mathcal{F} \to I_{\bullet}$ with I_{\bullet} acyclic, so $H^{i\geq 1}(I_{\bullet}) = 0$, then $H^{k}(X;F)$ is computed as the homology of I_{\bullet} .

Remark 8.0.8: Since Ω^p is a holomorphic vector bundle on X, this yields the Dolbeault resolution

$$0 \to \Omega^p \to A^{p,0} \to A^{p,1} \to \cdots,$$

and $H^{p,q} := H^q(X; \Omega^p)$ is the homology of this complex. Define $h^{p,q} := \dim_{\mathbf{C}} H^{p,q}$ – note that this forms a diamond since for $p, q \ge \dim X$ there are no *p*-forms or *q*-forms whatsoever.

Theorem 8.0.9 (Hodge decomposition and symmetry theorems). There is a decomposition

$$H^k(X; \underline{\mathbf{C}}) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^{p,q},$$

and a symmetry

$$H^{p,q}(X) \cong \overline{H^{q,p}(X)}.$$

Remark 8.0.10: Note that \overline{V} doesn't necessarily make sense yet for $V = H^{p,q}(X)$, since we don't know that it is a subspace of some real vector space W – here we'll take $H^k(X; \underline{\mathbf{C}}) = H^k(X; \underline{\mathbf{R}}) \otimes \mathbf{C}$. E.g. writing $\mathbf{C}^2 = \mathbf{R}^2 \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$, if $V = \langle u, v \rangle_{\mathbf{C}}$ then $\overline{V} = \langle \overline{u}, \overline{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{C}}$.

Tuesday, February 14

Proposition 9.0.1 (Riemann-Roch for curves).

 $h^{0}(C, L) - h^{0}(C, K_{C} \otimes L^{-1}) = \deg L + 1 - q.$

Remark 9.0.2: Recall:

- $h^i(X,F) \coloneqq \dim_k H^i(X;F).$
- $\chi(X,F) \coloneqq \sum_{i\geq 0} h^i(X,F)$ for $F \in Sh(X, {}_kMod)$, provided these numbers are finite.
- $H^0(\mathbf{A}^1_{/k}; \mathcal{O}) = \overline{k}[x]t^0$, and note $\dim_k k[x] = \infty$.
- For X an irreducible Noetherian topological space with dim X = d, $H^i(X, F) = 0$ for i > d.
- For $X \in \operatorname{Proj} \operatorname{Var}_{/k}$ and F a finitely presented \mathcal{O}_X -module, i.e. there is an exact sequence $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus^m} \to \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus^n} \twoheadrightarrow F$, we have $h^i(X, F) < \infty$.
- Finitely presented sheaves are coherent. An analytic coherent sheaf is defined in the same way with respect to $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{an}}$ (the sheaf of holomorphic functions).
- $h^0(X, K_C \otimes L^{-1}) = h^1(C, L).$

Theorem 9.0.3 (Serre duality).

Let X be a compact complex manifold and let $E \to X$ be a holomorphic vector bundle. Then $H^{i}(X, E) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{\dim_{\mathbf{C}} X - i}(X, E^{\vee} \otimes K_{X})^{\vee}$ where $K_{X} = \det \Omega_{X} := \Omega_{X}^{\dim X}$.

Proof (?). Regard $s \in H^i(X, E)$ as an element in Dolbeault cohomology,

$$H^{i}(X,E) \cong \frac{\ker\left(E \otimes A^{0,i}(X) \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}} A^{0,i+1}(X)\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left(E \otimes A^{0,i-1}(X) \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}} E \otimes A^{0,i}(X)\right)}.$$

Note that $K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} C^{\infty} = A^{n,0}$. Let

- $t \in H^{n-i}(X, E^{\vee} \otimes K)$
- $\tilde{s} \in E \otimes A^{0,i}(X)$ $\tilde{t} \in E^{\vee} \otimes K \otimes A^{0,n-i}(X) \cong E^{\vee} \otimes A^{n,n-i}(X).$

One can then pair $\langle \tilde{s}, \tilde{t} \rangle \in A^{n,n}(X)$, and $\int_X \langle \tilde{s}, \tilde{t} \rangle \in \mathbf{C}$ is a perfect pairing.

Remark 9.0.4: Upshot: the LHS in RR is $\chi(C, L)$.

Proposition 9.0.5(?).

The following is an important exact sequence of sheaves: for any $D \in \mathrm{CDiv}(X)^{\mathsf{eff}}$, one has

 $\mathcal{O}_X(-D) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \qquad \in \mathsf{Sh}(X)$

where $\mathcal{O}_D \coloneqq \iota_* \mathcal{O}_X$ for $\iota : D \hookrightarrow X$ the inclusion.

Proof (?).

Note $\mathcal{O}_X(D)(U) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(U) \mid \text{div } f \geq D\}$, so $\mathcal{O}_X(D) = I_D$ is the ideal sheaf of D. If D is cut out by a single function on U, we have $I_D(U) = (f) \subset \mathcal{O}_X(U)$. This yields an inclusion $\mathcal{O}_X(-D) = I_D \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$. By definition, the quotient \mathcal{O}_X/I_D are functions defined on D, at least on affine opens U. Since exactness of sheaves is local, this check suffices.

Remark 9.0.6: In particular, on a curve one has

$$\mathcal{O}_C(-p) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_p$$

where $p \in C$ is a point. This can be tensored with any vector bundle L to get

$$L(-p) \coloneqq L \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p) \hookrightarrow L \twoheadrightarrow L|_p$$

which is exact since L is locally free. For $s_p \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(p))$, we have $V(s_p) = [p]$ as a divisor:



Proposition 9.0.7(?). For $F_1 \hookrightarrow F_2 \twoheadrightarrow F_3$,

$$\chi(F_2) = \chi(F_1) + \chi(F_3).$$

Proof (?).

Take the LES in cohomology, where $H^n(F_i) = 0$ for large enough n. Now for a LES of vector spaces $V_1 \hookrightarrow V_2 \to \cdots \twoheadrightarrow V_n$, one has $\sum (-1)^i \dim_k V_i = 0$.

Claim: $L|_p \cong \mathcal{O}_p$ satisfies $H^i(C, \mathcal{O}_p) = \mathbf{C}t^0$, which is more generally true for a skyscraper sheaf at a point.

Remark 9.0.8: Take a fine enough open cover (e.g. an affine cover) so that p appears in only one set, and use Čech cohomology. That $L|_p \cong \mathcal{O}_p$ follows from the fact that this holds on a small enough open U and both are identically zero away from p.

Remark 9.0.9: Now use that $\chi(C, \mathcal{O}_p) = 1$, we then claim that $\chi(L) = \chi(L(-p)) + 1$ and thus $L \cong \mathcal{O}_C(\sum n_p[p])$ and $\chi(L) = \sum n_p + \chi(\mathcal{O}_C)$ by repeatedly applying this fact. Note $\sum n_p = \deg L$, so

$$\chi(L) = \deg L + \chi(\mathcal{O}_C).$$

We have $\chi(\mathcal{O}_C) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_C) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_C) = 1 - h^0(K)$ by Serre duality. Applying the above version of RR to K yields $\chi(K) = \deg K + \chi(\mathcal{O}) = 2g - 2 + \chi(\mathcal{O})$. On the other hand, this equals $h^0(K) - h^0(K^{\vee} \otimes K) = h^0(K) - 1$. Combining these yields

 $\chi(\mathcal{O}) = -(2g - 2 + \chi(\mathcal{O})) \implies 2\chi(\mathcal{O}) = 2 - 2g \implies \chi(\mathcal{O}) = 1 - g.$

Plugging this back into the first equation yields

$$\chi(L) = \deg L + 1 - g.$$

Remark 9.0.10: Note that $\chi^{\mathsf{Top}}(C) = g$ was defined as the index of a vector field, and this shows that also $g = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$.

Remark 9.0.11: An application of Serre duality: the Hodge diamond. Let $n := \dim_{\mathbf{C}} X$ and recall $h^{p,q} := \dim_{\mathbf{C}} H^q(X, \Omega_X^p)$. By duality, $h^{p,q} = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} H^{n-q}(X, (\Omega_X^p)^{\vee} \otimes \Omega^n)$. We first claim

$$(\Omega^p_X)^{\vee} \otimes \Omega^n \cong \Omega^{n-p}$$

Note that sections of Ω^p are of the form $\sum a_I dz_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{i_p}$ with a_I holomorphic functions on U, and sections of $(\Omega^p)^{\vee}$ look like $\sum a_I \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i_p}}$ whose transition functions are the inverse of those for Ω^p . Noting that Ω^n is a line bundle with local sections of the form $f dz_1 \wedge \cdots dz_n$, one can contract forms (interior multiplication) to obtain

$$\left(\sum a_I \frac{\partial}{\partial z_I}\right) \otimes (f \, dz_I) = f \sum_{j \in I^c} dz_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{j_{n-p}}.$$

Thus $h^{p,q} = \dim H^{n-q}(X, \Omega^{n-p}) = h^{n-p,n-q}$.



Link to Diagram

This yields K_4 symmetry, and we'll see that for a Calabi Yau there is a D_4 symmetry.

10 | Tuesday, February 21

Remark 10.0.1: Last time: M a compact manifold, $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} M = 2n$, there is a perfect pairing

$$H^k(M; \mathbf{Z})/\mathrm{tors} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H^k(M; \mathbf{Z})/\mathrm{tors} \to \mathbf{Z}$$

 $\alpha \otimes \beta \mapsto \int_M \alpha \vee \beta.$

Interpret $\alpha.\beta$ as $[N_1].[N_2] = \sum_{p \in N_1 \pitchfork N_2} \pm 1$ where the N_i are Poincaré duals. Satisfies $\alpha.\beta = (-1)^n \beta \alpha$ for $\alpha, \beta \in H^n(X; \mathbf{Z})/\text{tors}$ where $n \coloneqq \dim_{\mathbf{C}} M = \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbf{R}} M$.

Definition 10.0.2 (Lattices)

An (orthogonal) lattice is a free abelian group $\Lambda \cong \mathbf{Z}^k$ of finite rank, together with an integral symmetric bilinear form

 $\cdot : \Lambda \otimes \Lambda \to \mathbf{Z}.$

- A is symplectic if \cdot is alternating, so $\alpha \beta = -\beta \alpha$.
- Λ is **unimodular** if for all primitive nonzero vectors $x \in \Lambda$, $\exists y \in \Lambda$ such that x.y = 1, where x is primitive if $x \neq \lambda z$ for any $z \in \Lambda$
- Λ is nondegenerate if $\forall x \in \Lambda$, $\exists y \in \Lambda$ with $x.y \neq 0$.
- The **Gram matrix** of a basis $\{e_i\}$ for a lattice (Λ, \cdot) is $M_{ij} \coloneqq e_i \cdot e_j$. M is symmetric for orthogonal lattices and skew-symmetric for symplectic lattices. One can write $v \cdot w = v^t M w$.
- If Λ_i are lattices, so is $\bigoplus_i \Lambda_i$.

Example 10.0.3(?): The standard example: $\Lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $[x_1, y_1] \cdot [x_2, y_2] \coloneqq x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2$ is nondegenerate and unimodular. Here [2, 2] = 2[1, 1] is not primitive, but [2, 1] is primitive. Proving unimodularity: if gcd(m, n) = 1, one just needs to solve mx + ny = 1 for $[x, y] \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. This has Gram matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Example 10.0.4(?): A degenerate lattice: $(\mathbf{Z}^2, \mathbf{x}.\mathbf{y} \coloneqq x_1x_2)$. This is symmetric, but $\mathbf{x}.[0,1] = 0$ for every $\mathbf{x} \in \Lambda$. The Gram matrix is $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Example 10.0.5(?): A symplectic lattice: $(\mathbf{Z}^2, \mathbf{x}.\mathbf{y} \coloneqq x_1y_2 - x_2y_1)$, which has Gram matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Example 10.0.6(?): There is a 2g-dimensional symplectic lattice for every $g \ge 0$ given by $\mathbf{Z}_{symp}^{2g} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{g} (\mathbf{Z}^2, \cdot_{symp})$, which has Gram matrix comprised of g diagonal blocks of $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. This is the only unimodular symplectic lattice up to isomorphism, and is the intersection form on $H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbf{Z})$. The vectors here can be represented by fundamental classes of 1-dimensional submanifolds, i.e. real curves:



More generally, if dim_{**R**} M = 4k + 2, then $H^{2k+1}(M; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}_{symp}^{2g}$ for some g.

Definition 10.0.7 (Orthogonal complements) For $M \subseteq \Lambda$, define $M^{\perp} := \{x \in \Lambda \mid x.m = 0 \forall m \in M\}$.

Example 10.0.8(?): For $\mathbf{Z}_{symp}^2 = \mathbf{Z}\alpha \oplus \mathbf{Z}\beta$, we have $\mathbf{Z}\alpha^{\perp} = \mathbf{Z}\alpha$. For $\mathbf{Z}_{std}^2 = \mathbf{Z}\alpha \oplus \mathbf{Z}\beta$, one instead has $\mathbf{Z}\alpha^{\perp} = \mathbf{Z}\beta$.

Remark 10.0.9: Over **R**, symmetric bilinear forms are classified by their signature: they can all be diagonalized to diag $(1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1, 0, \dots, 0)$ for some multiplicities n_+, n_-, n_0 where

 $n_+ + n_- + n_0 = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda$. Any lattice can be extended via $\Lambda_{\mathbf{R}} \coloneqq \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R}$, so define $\operatorname{sgn} \Lambda \coloneqq (n_+, n_-, n_0)$.

Example 10.0.10(?): Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ and let $u.v = \Re(x\overline{y})$, so

$$||u|| = u.u = (a + b\sqrt{5})(a - b\sqrt{5}) = a^2 - 5b^2.$$

Note that $\Lambda_{\mathbf{R}} \cong \mathbf{R}^{1,1}$, which in the standard basis has Gram matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$. This can be visualized as a 2-dimensional subspace of \mathbf{R}^2 spanned by $1, \sqrt{5}$. Note that $\|\sqrt{5}\| = -5$.

Remark 10.0.11: Define the hyperbolic signature as (1, n) for any $n \ge 0$. One can visualize positive/negative norm vectors using the light cone: for $\mathbf{R}^{1,n}$, solving $v \cdot v = 0$ to get $x_1^2 = x_2^2 + \cdots + x_{n_1}^2$. This is a cone over S^1 at height 1 in \mathbf{R}^3 :



Note that $\{v.v > 0\}$ has two connected components.

Definition 10.0.12 (Hyperbolic planes) A *hyperbolic cell/plane* is the lattice H defined as \mathbf{Z}^2 with pairing given by the Gram matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and signature (1,1). This admits an orthonormal basis $\frac{e_1+e_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{e_1-e_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \text{ and } H_{\mathbf{R}} \cong \mathbf{R}^{1,1}.$

Remark 10.0.13: Recall the ADE Dynkin diagrams:



One can build a root lattice out of each diagram:

- Take one basis vector e_i for each node,
- $e_i^2 = -2$,
- $e_i \cdot e_j = 1 \iff \text{nodes } i, j \text{ are connected, and zero otherwise.}$

The lattices will be negative definite, i.e. of signature (0, n) with n the number of nodes. The only unimodular such lattice corresponds to E_8 .

Example 10.0.14(?): A_1 corresponds to the matrix [-2], and thus **Z** with bilinear form $-2n^2$.

$$A_2$$
 yields $\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$, and A_3 yields $\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$.

Question 10.0.15

How does one check that a lattice is unimodular?

Definition 10.0.16 (?) Let (Λ, \cdot) be a nondegenerate lattice, so $\Lambda \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbf{R}} \cong \mathbf{R}^{a,b}$. Define

$$\Lambda^{\vee} \coloneqq \left\{ y \in \Lambda_{\mathbf{R}} \ \Big| \ x.y \in \mathbf{Z} \ \forall x \in \Lambda \right\}.$$

Example 10.0.17(?): Consider $\Lambda := \sqrt{2}\mathbf{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^1$ with the standard pairing, then $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \in \Lambda^{\vee}}$.

Remark 10.0.18: One can always find a basis of Λ^{\vee} given by e_i^{\vee} where $e_i^{\vee}e_j = \delta_{ij}$. Since $e_i^{\vee}Me_j = \delta_{ij}$ for M the Gram matrix of a form, one finds that e_i^{\vee} is the *i*th row of M^{-1} . Why: letting N be the matrix with rows e_i^{\vee} , one has NM = I.

Proposition 10.0.19(?). Λ is unimodular iff $\Lambda^{\vee} = \Lambda$.

Proof (?).

Note $x^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ by definition, so $\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda^{\vee}$. If $v \in \Lambda^{\vee} \setminus \Lambda$, then one can show that the minimal n such that $nv \in \Lambda$ yields a primitive element of Λ . Since $Nv.w \in n\mathbb{Z}$ for all w, so can't pair to 1.

Remark 10.0.20: So $\Lambda^{\vee} := \bigoplus \mathbb{Z} e_i^{\vee} \subseteq \Lambda \implies e_i^{\vee} \in \Lambda \implies M^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, and applying the same argument to duals yields det $M = \pm 1$. In general, det $M = \sharp(\Lambda^{\vee}/\Lambda)^2$ is the covolume. So

- $\operatorname{vol}(\mathbf{R}^n/\Lambda) = \det M$
- $\operatorname{vol}(\mathbf{R}^n/\Lambda^{\vee}) = \det M^{-1}$, which is the Gram matrix of Λ^{\vee} .
- $\sharp (\Lambda^{\vee}/\Lambda)^2 = \operatorname{covol}(\Lambda)^2 / \operatorname{covol}(\Lambda^{\vee})^2 = \det(M)^2$.

Tuesday, March 14

Remark 11.0.1: Today: Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch and Chern classes. Let $E \to X$ be a smooth **C**-vector bundle, then $\exists f: Y \to X$ such that f^*E splits (as a smooth **C**-vector bundle) into a direct sum of line bundles, i.e. $f^*E \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{i \leq r} L_i$ where $r \coloneqq \operatorname{rank} E$. One can ensure that $f^*: H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow$ $H^*(Y; \mathbf{Z})$ is injective.

This allows us to build $c_k(E)$ and thus $c_k(E)$ from the Chern roots $x_i \coloneqq c_1(L_i)$ of E. Set $c_k(f^*E) \coloneqq \sigma_k(x_1, \cdots, x_r)$, the kth elementary symmetric polynomial in the x_i . Note that $\prod_{i \leq r} (t + i)$ $(x_i) = \sum_{i < r} s_{r-i} x^i$ where e.g.

- $s_1(x_1, \cdots, x_r) = \sum x_i$ $s_2(x_1, \cdots, x_r) = \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j$ $s_r(x_1, \cdots, x_r) = \prod x_i$

Define

$$c(E,t) \coloneqq \prod_{i \le r} (t+x_i), \qquad c(E) \coloneqq c(E,1) = \sum c_i$$

where $c_i = H^{2i}(Y; \mathbf{Z})$, and set $f^*(c_k E) \coloneqq c_k(f^*E)$; this uniquely defines $c_k(E)$.

Remark 11.0.2: Note on proving the splitting principle: for $A \hookrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C$ smooth vector bundles, putting a Hermitian metric on B yields A^{\perp} in B and thus a (smooth) splitting. Set $Y \coloneqq \left\{ x \in X \mid V_1 \subseteq V_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_r = E_x, \dim_{\mathbf{C}} V_i = i \right\}, \text{ where } f : Y \to X \text{ by forgetting the flag.}$ Then dim $Y = \dim X + \dim \operatorname{Fl}(\mathbf{C}^r)$, then f^*E admits a filtration F^i where F^1 is a line bundle. This yields SESs $F^{i-1} \hookrightarrow F_i \twoheadrightarrow L_r$ which split.

Remark 11.0.3: Define the total Chern character as $c(E) := \sum_{i \leq r} e^{x_i}$. Note that $\mathbf{C}[t_1, \cdots, t_r]^{S_r} =$ $\mathbf{C}[s_1,\cdots,s_r]$, and

$$\sum_{i \le r} \left(\sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{x_i^k}{k!} \right) = r + (x_1 + \dots + x_r) + \left(\frac{x_1^2}{2} + \dots + \frac{x_r^2}{2} \right) + \dots$$
$$= r + c_1 + \left(\frac{c_1^2}{2} - c_2 \right) + \dots,$$

noting that e.g. $c_1^2 = \sum_i x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j$. Define the total Todd class as

$$\mathrm{Td}(E) := \prod_{i} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-x_i}} = 1 + \frac{c_1}{2} + \frac{c_1^2 + c_2}{12} + \frac{c_1 c_2}{24}.$$

Note this is holomorphic at each x_i by L'Hopital, and moreover symmetric, and each term is a generating function for Bernoulli numbers.

Remark 11.0.4: Recall that RR says that for a holomorphic line bundle of L, one can compute $\chi(L)$ in terms of deg $L = \int c_1(L)$, a purely topological invariant. The following theorem generalizes this:

Theorem 11.0.5 (Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch).

Let $E \to X$ be a holomorphic vector bundle over a compact complex manifold. Defining $\chi(E) \coloneqq \sum (-1)^i h^0(X; E)$,

$$\chi(E) = \int_X \operatorname{Chern}(E) \operatorname{Td}(\mathbf{T}_X)$$

where the multiplication is in $H^*(X; \mathbf{Z})$, noting that both classes are supported in $H^{\text{even}}(X; \mathbf{Z})$ and the integration means taking the top degree part in $H^{2 \dim_{\mathbf{C}} X}(X; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$.

Remark 11.0.6: Recovering RR: for $L \to X$ a line bundle on a curve, one has $\operatorname{Chern}(L) = e^{c_1(L)} = 1 + c_1(L)$ and $\operatorname{Td}(T) = \frac{c_1(T)}{1 - e^{-c_1(T)}} = 1 + \frac{c_1(T)}{2}$. Thus

$$\chi(L) = \int_X (1 + c_1(L)) \left(1 + \frac{c_1(T)}{2} \right) = \int_X c_1(L) + \frac{c_1(T)}{2} = \deg L + \frac{1}{2} \int_X c_1(T).$$

Note that $c_1(T)$ is the fundamental class of the zeros of some section of T, i.e. the number of zeros of a vector field, which by Chern-Gauss-Bonnet yields $\int_X c_1(T) = \chi_{\mathsf{Top}}(X)$. Thus

$$\chi(L) = \deg L + \frac{1}{2}\chi_{\mathsf{Top}}(X).$$

For a curve, $\chi_{\mathsf{Top}}(X) = 2 - 2g$, so $\chi(L) = \deg L + (1 - g)$.

Remark 11.0.7: Let $E \to S$ now be a line bundle over a surface, then $\chi(L) = h^0(L) - h^1(L) + h^2(L)$ since L is a coherent sheaf and dim X = 2. HRR yields

$$\chi(L) = \int_{S} \left(1 + c_1(L) + \frac{c_2(L)}{2!} \right) \left(1 + \frac{c_1(T)}{2} + \frac{c_1(T)^2 + c_2(T)}{12} \right)$$

First consider the special case $L = \mathcal{O}_S$ so $c_1(L) = 0$ and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = \int c_1(\mathbf{T}_S)^2 + c_2(\mathbf{T}_S)$. By the splitting principle, if $\mathbf{T}_S = L_1 \oplus L_2$ then det $\mathbf{T}_S = L_1 \otimes L_2$, so

$$c_1(\mathbf{T}_S) = c_1(\det \mathbf{T}_S) = c_1(L_1 \otimes L_2) = c_1(L_1) + c_1(L_2) = x_1 + x_2.$$

Note also that $c_1(\det \mathbf{T}_S) = c_1(-K_S^{\vee}) = -c_1(K_S)$ and so $c_1(\mathbf{T}_S) = K_S^2$.

For the second term, note that the top Chern class of E is always the fundamental class of V(s) for s a generic smooth section of E. In particular, $\int_S c_2(\mathbf{T}_S) = \chi_{\mathsf{Top}}(S)$ is the number of zeros of a smooth vector field. This yields **Noether's formula**

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = \frac{1}{12} \left(K_S^2 + \chi_{\mathsf{Top}}(S) \right).$$

Remark 11.0.8: Let $X = \mathbf{P}^2$, so $K = \mathcal{O}(-3)$ and $\chi_{\mathsf{Top}}(X) = 3$, so $K^2 = (-3H)^2 = 9H^2 = 9$ so

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}) = \frac{1}{12}(9+3) = 1$$

Since $h^0(\mathcal{O}) = 1$ and $h^1(\mathcal{O}) = \frac{1}{2}\beta_1 = 0$, this yields $h^2(\mathcal{O}) = 0$.

Remark 11.0.9: RR for surfaces:

$$\chi(S,L) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) + \frac{1}{2}(c_1(\mathbf{T}_S)c_1(L)) + \frac{1}{2}c_1(L))^2.$$

Reworking this, note $c_1(\mathbf{T}_S) = -c_1(K_S)$ and thus

$$\chi(S,L) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) + \frac{1}{2} \left(L^2 - L \cdot K_S \right)$$

Remark 11.0.10: Computing the Hodge diamond of a K3: recall $h^1(X) = 0$ and $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X$.

- $H^0: 1.$
- $H^1: (0,0).$
- $H^2: (1, N, 1)$ by Serre duality for some N.
- $H^3:(0,0)$ by Poincare duality.
- $H^4: 1$ by Poincare duality.

Computing N: $\chi(\mathcal{O}) = \frac{1}{12}(K^2 + \chi(X))$ where $\chi(\mathcal{O}) = 1 - 0 + 1 = 2$ and $K^2 = 0$, this yields $\chi(X) = 24$ and N = 22.

12 | Thursday, March 16

Definition 12.0.1 (Linear systems)

For X smooth projective, $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$, $V \subseteq H^0(L)$, define $\mathbf{P}V$ to be a linear system (a collection of linearly equivalent divisors) and $|L| \coloneqq \mathbf{P}H^0(L)$ to be a complete linear system. If $s \in H^0(L) \setminus \{0\}$, then $V(s) \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ and $\mathcal{O}(V(s)) = L$ (noting that all sections are linearly equivalent). Here we projectivize since $V(\lambda s) = V(s)$.

Example 12.0.2(?): Let $X = \mathbf{P}^1$ and $L = \mathcal{O}(1)$, then

$$H^0(L) = \left\{ f \in k[x, y] \mid f \text{ homogenous }, \deg f = n \right\}.$$

As divisors,

$$\mathbf{P}H^0(\mathcal{O}(1)) = \left\{ \sum a_i p_i \mid a_i > 0, \ \sum a_i = n \right\}$$

corresponding to the zeros and multiplicities of f.

Example 12.0.3(?): Let $E = \mathbf{C}/\Lambda$ be elliptic and $L = \mathcal{O}(D)$ for D = 3[0]. Then $|L| = \{[p] + [q] + [r] \mid p + q + r = 0 \mod \Lambda\}$. Note that $|L| \cong \mathbf{P}^2$ since r is determined by p, q.

Example 12.0.4(?): Let C be a curve with $g \ge 2$ and $L = K_C = \Omega_C^1$. Then by RR $h^0(K_C) = g$ and $|K_C| \cong \mathbf{P}^{g-1}$ is called the **canonical linear system**.

Definition 12.0.5 (?)

Let $V \leq H^0(L)$ be a subspace and $\{s_0, \dots, s_k\}$ be a basis. Then there is a map

 $\varphi_V : X \dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}^k$ $x \mapsto [s_0(x) : \cdots : s_k(x)].$

Defining the **base locus** as $Bs(L) \coloneqq \{x \in X \mid s_i(x) = 0 \forall i\}$, note $\varphi_{\mathbf{P}V}$ is not well-defined for any $x \in Bs(L)$.

Proposition 12.0.6(?). For C a curve of $g \ge 2$, $Bs(K_X) = \emptyset$.

Proof (?).

STS $\forall p \in C$ there is some $s \in H^0(K_C)$ with $s(p) \neq 0$. Letting s_p be a section vanishing only at p, multiplication by s_p induces $H^0(K_C(-p)) \hookrightarrow H^0(K_C)$ with image the sections of K_C vanishing at p. Thus STS this is not surjective by showing $h^0(K_C) > h^0(K_C(-p))$. Apply RR and Serre duality:

$$h^{0}(K_{C}(-p)) - h^{1}(K_{C}(-p)) = h^{0}(K_{C}(-p)) - h^{0}(\mathcal{O}(p))$$

= deg K_C(-p) + (1 - g)
= (2g - 3) + (1 - g)
= g - 2.

Note that if $s \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(p))$ then V(s) = [q] must be a single point, but if $p \neq q$ then [p] - [q] = 0and $\exists f : C \to \mathbf{P}^1$ with $f^{-1}(0) = p$ and $f^{-1}(\infty) = q$ with deg f = 1, forcing $C \cong \mathbf{P}^1$ and contradicting $g \geq 2$. So p = q, and $h^0(\mathcal{O}(p)) = 1$, and thus

$$h^{0}(K_{C}(-p)) - 1 = g - 2 \implies h^{0}(K_{C}(-p)) = g - 1 < g = h^{0}(K_{C}).$$

Exercise 12.0.7 (?)

Show that if C is not hyperelliptic $(\exists f : C \to \mathbf{P}^1 \text{ 2-to-1})$ then $\forall p, q \in C$ one can find $s \in H^0(K_C)$ with $s(p) = 0, s(q) \neq 0$, so they are separated by linear forms on \mathbf{P}^{g-1} . This yields an actual morphism $\varphi_{|K_C|} : C \to \mathbf{P}^{g-1}$ where p, q are not mapped to the same point. This is the canonical embedding of a curve, which only works when $g \geq 3$ and C is non-hyperelliptic. If g = 2 or C is hyperelliptic, $3K_C$ yields an (tricanonical) embedding.

Example 12.0.8(?): If C is not hyperelliptic and g = 3, then $C \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ by the canonical embedding. This yields an element in $\text{Div}(\mathbf{P}^2)$, which is a smooth quartic.

Proposition 12.0.9 (Canonical of P^n .).

 $K_{\mathbf{P}^n} = \mathcal{O}(-n-1).$

Proof (?).

Take coordinates $[x_0 : \dots : x_n]$ and take $\omega := \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dx_n}{x_n}$, noting that this omits x_0 . This has poles along each $V(x_i)$ for $i \neq 0$ and in fact a pole at x_0 , since these are n + 1 distinct spaces. E.g. for n = 1, since $x_1 = x_0^{-1}$ we have $\frac{d(x^{-1})}{x^{-1}} = -\frac{dx_1}{x_1}$.

Proposition 12.0.10 (Adjunction). If X is smooth and $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ then

$$K_D = (K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}(D))|_D.$$

Proof (?). Omitted, take residues.

Remark 12.0.11: Applying this to the previous curve situation: note deg $K_C = 2g - 2 = 4$, which counts $\varphi_{|K_C|}(C) \cap V(x_0)$ and yields a quartic.

Proposition 12.0.12(?). For C a degree d curve in \mathbf{P}^2 ,

$$g = \binom{d-1}{2}.$$

Proof (?).

Take degrees in the adjunction formula and apply Bezout's theorem:

$$K_C = K_{\mathbf{P}^2} + C \Big|_C \implies 2g(C) - 2 = \deg(-3H + dH) \Big|_C = d(d-3).$$

More generally if $C \subseteq S$ a curve in a surface, deg $L \mid_C = c_1(L).[C]$ is an intersection number. Expanding this yields $g = \frac{d^2 - 3d + 2}{2} = \binom{d-1}{2}$.

Definition 12.0.13 (Kodaira dimension)

$$\kappa(X) \coloneqq \max_{n \ge 0} \left\{ \dim \operatorname{im} \varphi_{nK_X} \right\}$$

where dim $\emptyset \coloneqq -\infty$ and $\kappa(X) \in \{-\infty, 0, \cdots, \dim X\}$.

Remark 12.0.14: Note that since $3K_C$ yields an embedding for a curve with $g \ge 2$, $\kappa(C) = 1$. Also note that $\kappa(X) = -\infty \iff h^0(nK_X) = 0$ for all *n*. For curves:

g(C)	$\kappa(C)$
0	$-\infty$
1	0
2	1
3	1
4	÷

Here we've used that $K_{\mathbf{P}^1} = \mathcal{O}(-2)$ has no sections and $K_E = \mathcal{O}_E$ is trivial. Fanos are $\kappa = -\infty$ and general type $(\kappa(X) = \dim X)$ are $g \ge 2$.

Remark 12.0.15: For smooth projective surfaces: $\kappa(S) \in \{-\infty, 0, 1, 2\}$. See Beauville for the Enriques-Kodaira classification due to the Italian school:

- $\kappa(S) = -\infty$: ruled and rational.
- $\kappa(S) = 0$: K3, Abelian, Enriques, Bi-elliptic.
- $\kappa(S) = 1$: Elliptic.
- $\kappa(S) = 2$: General type.



ToDos

List of Todos

Definitions

3.0.3	Definition – Kähler differentials	7
3.0.5	Definition – Smoothness	8
3.0.7	Definition – $\mathcal{O}_X Mod$	8
3.0.10	Definition – Morphisms in $\mathcal{O}_x Mod$	10
3.0.12	Definition – Ideal sheaf	10
3.0.17	Definition – Vector bundle	12
3.0.19	Definition – Divisors	12
3.0.21	Definition – Divisors of functions	12
4.0.2	Definition – Divisor class group	12
4.0.8	Definition – Cartier divisors	13
5.0.6	Definition – ?	15
5.0.8	Definition – Rational sections	15
7.0.5	Definition – ?	21
8.0.2	Definition – (p,q) -forms	22
8.0.6	Definition – Dolbeault complex	23
10.0.2	Definition – Lattices	27
10.0.7	Definition – Orthogonal complements	28
10.0.12	Definition – Hyperbolic planes	29
10.0.16	Definition – ?	31
12.0.1	Definition – Linear systems	34
12.0.5	Definition – ?	35
12.0.13	Definition – Kodaira dimension	36
12.0.16	Definition – ?	37

Theorems

5.0.3Proposition - ?145.0.7Proposition - ?155.0.12Proposition - ?166.0.1Theorem - Riemann-Hurwitz178.0.9Theorem - Hodge decomposition and symmetry theorems239.0.1Proposition - Riemann-Roch for curves249.0.3Theorem - Serre duality249.0.4Proposition - ?249.0.5Proposition - ?249.0.7Proposition - ?2610.0.19Proposition - ?3111.0.5Theorem - Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch3312.0.6Proposition - ?3512.0.9Proposition - Canonical of \mathbf{P}^n 3512.0.10Proposition - Adjunction3612.0.12Proposition - ?36	2.0.3	Proposition – ?	6
$5.0.7$ Proposition - ?15 $5.0.12$ Proposition - ?16 $6.0.1$ Theorem - Riemann-Hurwitz17 $8.0.9$ Theorem - Hodge decomposition and symmetry theorems23 $9.0.1$ Proposition - Riemann-Roch for curves24 $9.0.3$ Theorem - Serre duality24 $9.0.5$ Proposition - ?24 $9.0.7$ Proposition - ?24 $9.0.7$ Proposition - ?26 $10.0.19$ Proposition - ?31 $11.0.5$ Theorem - Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch33 $12.0.6$ Proposition - ?35 $12.0.9$ Proposition - Canonical of \mathbf{P}^n 35 $12.0.10$ Proposition - Adjunction36 $12.0.12$ Proposition - ?36	5.0.3	Proposition – ?	14
$5.0.12$ Proposition - ?16 $6.0.1$ Theorem - Riemann-Hurwitz17 $8.0.9$ Theorem - Hodge decomposition and symmetry theorems23 $9.0.1$ Proposition - Riemann-Roch for curves24 $9.0.3$ Theorem - Serre duality24 $9.0.5$ Proposition - ?24 $9.0.7$ Proposition - ?24 $9.0.7$ Proposition - ?26 $10.0.19$ Proposition - ?31 $11.0.5$ Theorem - Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch33 $12.0.6$ Proposition - ?35 $12.0.9$ Proposition - Canonical of \mathbf{P}^n .35 $12.0.10$ Proposition - ?36 $12.0.12$ Proposition - ?36	5.0.7	Proposition – ?	15
$6.0.1$ Theorem - Riemann-Hurwitz17 $8.0.9$ Theorem - Hodge decomposition and symmetry theorems23 $9.0.1$ Proposition - Riemann-Roch for curves24 $9.0.3$ Theorem - Serre duality24 $9.0.5$ Proposition - ?24 $9.0.7$ Proposition - ?24 $9.0.7$ Proposition - ?26 $10.0.19$ Proposition - ?31 $11.0.5$ Theorem - Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch33 $12.0.6$ Proposition - ?35 $12.0.9$ Proposition - Canonical of \mathbf{P}^n .35 $12.0.10$ Proposition - Adjunction36 $12.0.12$ Proposition - ?36	5.0.12	Proposition – ?	16
8.0.9Theorem – Hodge decomposition and symmetry theorems239.0.1Proposition – Riemann-Roch for curves249.0.3Theorem – Serre duality249.0.5Proposition – ?249.0.7Proposition – ?249.0.7Proposition – ?2610.0.19Proposition – ?3111.0.5Theorem – Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch3312.0.6Proposition – ?3512.0.9Proposition – Canonical of \mathbf{P}^n 3512.0.10Proposition – Adjunction3612.0.12Proposition – ?36	6.0.1	Theorem – Riemann-Hurwitz	17
9.0.1Proposition - Riemann-Roch for curves249.0.3Theorem - Serre duality249.0.5Proposition - ?249.0.7Proposition - ?2610.0.19Proposition - ?3111.0.5Theorem - Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch3312.0.6Proposition - ?3512.0.9Proposition - Canonical of \mathbf{P}^n .3512.0.10Proposition - Adjunction3612.0.12Proposition - ?36	8.0.9	Theorem – Hodge decomposition and symmetry theorems	23
9.0.3Theorem – Serre duality249.0.5Proposition – ?249.0.7Proposition – ?2610.0.19Proposition – ?3111.0.5Theorem – Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch3312.0.6Proposition – ?3512.0.9Proposition – Canonical of \mathbf{P}^n .3512.0.10Proposition – Adjunction3612.0.12Proposition – ?36	9.0.1	Proposition – Riemann-Roch for curves	24
9.0.5 Proposition - ? 24 9.0.7 Proposition - ? 26 10.0.19 Proposition - ? 31 11.0.5 Theorem - Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch 33 12.0.6 Proposition - ? 35 12.0.9 Proposition - Canonical of \mathbf{P}^n 35 12.0.10 Proposition - Adjunction 36 12.0.12 Proposition - ? 36	9.0.3	Theorem – Serre duality	24
9.0.7Proposition - ?2610.0.19Proposition - ?3111.0.5Theorem - Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch3312.0.6Proposition - ?3512.0.9Proposition - Canonical of \mathbf{P}^n 3512.0.10Proposition - Adjunction3612.0.12Proposition - ?36	9.0.5	Proposition – ?	24
10.0.19Proposition - ?3111.0.5Theorem - Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch3312.0.6Proposition - ?3512.0.9Proposition - Canonical of \mathbf{P}^n 3512.0.10Proposition - Adjunction3612.0.12Proposition - ?36	9.0.7	Proposition – ?	26
11.0.5Theorem – Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch3312.0.6Proposition – ?3512.0.9Proposition – Canonical of \mathbf{P}^n 3512.0.10Proposition – Adjunction3612.0.12Proposition – ?36	10.0.19	Proposition – ?	31
12.0.6Proposition - ?3512.0.9Proposition - Canonical of \mathbf{P}^n .3512.0.10Proposition - Adjunction3612.0.12Proposition - ?36	11.0.5	Theorem – Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch	33
12.0.9Proposition – Canonical of \mathbf{P}^n .3512.0.10Proposition – Adjunction3612.0.12Proposition – ?36	12.0.6	Proposition – ?	35
12.0.10 Proposition – Adjunction 36 12.0.12 Proposition – ? 36	12.0.9	Proposition – Canonical of \mathbf{P}^n	35
12.0.12 Proposition –? $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 36$	12.0.10	Proposition – Adjunction	36
	12.0.12	Proposition – ?	36

Exercises

1.0.6	Exercise – ?		•				 •									•	•	•			4
4.0.13	Exercise – ?				•		 •						•			•	•				14
6.0.4	Exercise – ?				•		 •						•			•	•				18
6.0.5	Exercise – ?				•		 •						•			•	•				18
6.0.8	Exercise – ?				•								•			•	•				20
12.0.7	Exercise – ?				•											•	•				35

Figures

List of Figures